

# Modelling temperature spread

Jack Collins, Ashley Sheil, Patrick Fleming, Cormac Murphy

3rd Annual Stokes Modelling Workshop  
2016



OÉ Gaillimh  
NUI Galway

- The spread of wildfire is notoriously hard to predict because there are so many influencing factors.
- Last month a fire broke out near Fort McMurray in Canada, causing billions of dollars worth of damage.
- Multiple factors contributed to the rapid fire growth, including the unusually dry winter and high temperatures.

# Introduction

- The spread of wildfire is notoriously hard to predict because there are so many influencing factors.
- Last month a fire broke out near Fort McMurray in Canada, causing billions of dollars worth of damage.
- Multiple factors contributed to the rapid fire growth, including the unusually dry winter and high temperatures.

- The spread of wildfire is notoriously hard to predict because there are so many influencing factors.
- Last month a fire broke out near Fort McMurray in Canada, causing billions of dollars worth of damage.
- Multiple factors contributed to the rapid fire growth, including the unusually dry winter and high temperatures.

# Introduction



Figure: *Fire at Fort McMurray*

## Our model

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

- We took as a starting point the heat equation in one spatial dimension.
- Then we added on a term (the Fisher equation) that describes the growth and spread of heat.
- However, this gave rise to the problem that our equation was now non-linear.

## Our model

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u(1 - u)$$

- We took as a starting point the heat equation in one spatial dimension.
- Then we added on a term (the Fisher equation) that describes the growth and spread of heat.
- However, this gave rise to the problem that our equation was now non-linear.

## Our model

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u(1 - u)$$

- We took as a starting point the heat equation in one spatial dimension.
- Then we added on a term (the Fisher equation) that describes the growth and spread of heat.
- However, this gave rise to the problem that our equation was now non-linear.



- Chebfun is not currently able to model 2nd-order time-dependent partial differential equations in two space dimensions with non-linear terms.
- We then decided to implement a discretized model using a semi-explicit method.
- The linear part of our function was implicitly discretized and the non-linear part was explicitly discretized.

- Chebfun is not currently able to model 2nd-order time-dependent partial differential equations in two space dimensions with non-linear terms.
- We then decided to implement a discretized model using a semi-explicit method.
- The linear part of our function was implicitly discretized and the non-linear part was explicitly discretized.

- Chebfun is not currently able to model 2nd-order time-dependent partial differential equations in two space dimensions with non-linear terms.
- We then decided to implement a discretized model using a semi-explicit method.
- The linear part of our function was implicitly discretized and the non-linear part was explicitly discretized.

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(u) &= -\varepsilon(u_{xx} + u_{yy}) + u(1-u) \\
 u_t + L(u) &= f \\
 \frac{u^{(k)} - u^{(k-1)}}{\tau} + L(u^{(k)}) &= f \quad \leftarrow \text{implicit.} \\
 \Rightarrow \underbrace{u^{(k)} + \tau L(u^{(k)})}_{\text{Chebfun} \leftrightarrow \text{linear only!}} &= \tau f + u^{(k-1)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{u^{(k)} - u^{(k-1)}}{\tau} + L(u^{(k-1)}) &= f \quad \leftarrow \text{explicit} \\
 u^{(k)} &= \tau f - \tau L(u^{(k-1)}) + u^{(k-1)} \\
 &\text{Crank Nicholson}
 \end{aligned}$$

Figure: Discretized equation

## Our new 2D model

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \nabla^2 u + \vec{w} \cdot \nabla u + u(1 - u) \quad \text{on } [0, 1]^2 \times [0, T].$$

- We extended the model to a 2-D version.
- We also added in a variable to model the effect of wind speed.
- We were unhappy with Dirichlet boundary conditions as the solution at the edge was unrealistic.
- As a result we imposed Neumann boundary conditions.

## Our new 2D model

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \nabla^2 u + \vec{w} \cdot \nabla u + u(1 - u) \quad \text{on } [0, 1]^2 \times [0, T].$$

- We extended the model to a 2-D version.
- We also added in a variable to model the effect of wind speed.
- We were unhappy with Dirichlet boundary conditions as the solution at the edge was unrealistic.
- As a result we imposed Neumann boundary conditions.

## Our new 2D model

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \nabla^2 u + \vec{w} \cdot \nabla u + u(1 - u) \quad \text{on } [0, 1]^2 \times [0, T].$$

- We extended the model to a 2-D version.
- We also added in a variable to model the effect of wind speed.
- We were unhappy with Dirichlet boundary conditions as the solution at the edge was unrealistic.
- As a result we imposed Neumann boundary conditions.





# Improvements

- We could have improved the visual model by writing code to show the path of the fire.
- We would have liked a more precise representation to show the growth of the fire.
- A programme to better model partial differential equations with non-linear terms would have been beneficial.

# Improvements

- We could have improved the visual model by writing code to show the path of the fire.
- We would have liked a more precise representation to show the growth of the fire.
- A programme to better model partial differential equations with non-linear terms would have been beneficial.

# Improvements

- We could have improved the visual model by writing code to show the path of the fire.
- We would have liked a more precise representation to show the growth of the fire.
- A programme to better model partial differential equations with non-linear terms would have been beneficial.