A NOTE ON ELEMENT CENTRALIZERS IN FINITE COXETER GROUPS.

MATJAŽ KONVALINKA, GÖTZ PFEIFFER, AND CLAAS RÖVER

ABSTRACT. The normalizer $N_W(W_J)$ of a standard parabolic subgroup W_J of a finite Coxeter group W splits over the parabolic subgroup with complement N_J consisting of certain minimal length coset representatives of W_J in W. In this note we show that (with the exception of a small number of cases arising from a situation in Coxeter groups of type D_n) the centralizer $C_W(w)$ of an element $w \in W$ is in a similar way a semidirect product of the centralizer of w in a suitable small parabolic subgroup W_J with complement isomorphic to the normalizer complement N_J.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let W be a finite Coxeter group, generated by a set of simple reflections S with length function $\ell \colon W \to \mathbb{N}_0$. Each subset $J \subseteq S$ generates a so-called standard parabolic subgroup $W_J = \langle J \rangle$ of W. Conjugates of standard parabolic subgroups are called parabolic subgroups. These subgroups are themselves Coxeter groups and therefore play an important role in the structure theory of finite Coxeter groups. A well-known property of the cosets of a standard parabolic subgroup W_J in W is that each coset contains a unique element of minimal length. The subgroup W_J hence possesses a distinguished right transversal X_J, consisting of the minimal length coset representatives. Due to a theorem of Howlett [4] and later work of Brink and Howlett [1], it is known that and how the normalizer $N_W(W_J)$ of the parabolic subgroup W_J is a semidirect product of W_J and a subgroup N_J consisting of precisely those minimal length coset representatives $x \in X_J$ which leave J as subset of W invariant in the conjugation action of W on its subsets, i.e., $N_J = \{x \in X_J : J^x = J\}$.

In this note we show that most centralizers of elements in W enjoy a similar semidirect product decomposition. Pfeiffer and Röhrle [8] have shown, based on Richardson's [9] characterization of involutions as central longest elements of parabolic subgroups of W, that if $w \in W$ is an involution then its centralizer in W coincides with the normalizer of a parabolic subgroup, and as such is a semidirect product. This note can be regarded as a generalization of the result for involutions

---

to all elements of W. Our results effectively reduce questions regarding the conjugacy classes of elements in a finite Coxeter group W to the cuspidal conjugacy classes, that is those conjugacy classes which are disjoint from any proper parabolic subgroup of W. Cuspidal conjugacy classes of elements of W play a central role in the algorithmic approach to the conjugacy classes of finite Coxeter groups in Chapter 3 of the book by Geck and Pfeiffer [3]. We refer the reader to this book as a general introduction to the theory of finite Coxeter groups.

We will call certain conjugacy classes of elements of a finite Coxeter group W *non-compliant*; see Definition 4.6. Without exception, these are conjugacy classes of W which nontrivially intersect a parabolic subgroup of type D. Hence, if W has no parabolic subgroups of type D, part (ii) of the following theorem applies without exception. We can now formulate our main theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let W be a finite Coxeter group and let $w \in W$. Let V be the smallest parabolic subgroup of W that contains w. Then the following hold.*

(i) *The centralizer $C_V(w) = C_W(w) \cap V$ is a normal subgroup of the centralizer $C_W(w)$ with quotient $C_W(w)/C_V(w)$ isomorphic to the normalizer quotient $N_W(V)/V$.*

(ii) *The centralizer $C_W(w)$ splits over $C_V(w)$ with complement isomorphic to $N_W(V)/V$ unless w lies in a non-compliant conjugacy class of elements of W.*

The parabolic subgroup V in the theorem is well-defined as the intersection of all parabolic subgroups of W that contain w, due to the fact that intersections of parabolic subgroups are parabolic subgroups, see Theorem 2.3 below. For the proof of the theorem, we will assume that w has *minimal length* in its conjugacy class in W. Then V is the *standard* parabolic subgroup W_J of W, where $J = J(w)$, the set of generators occurring in a reduced expression for w. The proof of part (i) is carried out in Section 3. Part (ii) of the theorem is established case by case in Section 4. The results for the exceptional types of Coxeter groups have been obtained with the help of computer programs using the GAP [10] package CHEVIE [2]. These programs are available through the second author's ZigZag [7] package. In Section 5, we use Theorem 1.1 to provide a new short proof of a theorem of Solomon, and then discuss its relation to MacMahon master theorem.

2. Preliminaries.

In this section we recall some results about distinguished coset representatives and conjugacy classes in a finite Coxeter group W, generated by a set of simple reflections S and with length function ℓ.

For $w \in W$, we set $J(w) = \{s_1, \ldots, s_l\} \subseteq S$, if $w = s_1 \ldots s_l$ is a reduced expression, i.e., if $l = \ell(w)$. As a consequence of Matsumoto's theorem, $J(w)$ does not depend on the choice of a reduced expression for w.

For $w \in W$, let
$$\mathcal{D}(w) = \{s \in S : \ell(sw) < \ell(w)\}$$
be its *descent set*, and let
$$\mathcal{A}(w) = \{s \in S : \ell(sw) > \ell(w)\} = S \setminus \mathcal{D}(w)$$
be its *ascent set.* The set
$$X_J = \{w \in W : J \subseteq \mathcal{A}(w)\}$$
is a right transversal for W_J in W, consisting of the elements of minimal length in each coset. For each element $w \in W$ there are unique elements $u \in W_J$ and $x \in X_J$ such that $w = u \cdot x$. Here the explicit multiplication dot indicates that the product ux is *reduced*, i.e., that $\ell(ux) = \ell(u) + \ell(x)$. An immediate consequence is the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([3, Lemma 2.1.14])**.** *Let $J \subseteq S$. Then $\ell(w^x) \geq \ell(w)$ for all $w \in W_J$, $x \in X_J$.*

We denote the longest element of W by w_0. For $J \subseteq S$, we denote by w_J the longest element of the parabolic subgroup W_J.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $w \in W$ and let $J = \mathcal{D}(w)$. Then $w = w_J \cdot x$ for some $x \in X_J$.*

Proof. This follows from [3, Lemma 1.5.2] and [3, Proposition 2.1.1] $\square$

For $J, K \subseteq S$ define $X_{JK} = X_J \cap X_K^{-1}$. Then X_{JK} is a set of minimal length double coset representatives of W_J and W_K in W.

Theorem 2.3 ([3, Theorem 2.1.12])**.** *Let $J, K \subseteq S$ and let $x \in X_{JK}$. Then $W_J^x \cap W_K = W_L$, where $L = J^x \cap K$.*

Theorem 2.4 ([3, Theorem 2.3.3])**.** *Suppose J, K are conjugate subsets of S and that $x \in X_J$ is such that $J^x = K$. If $s \in \mathcal{D}(x)$ then $x = d \cdot y$, where $d = w_J w_L$ for $L = J \cup \{s\}$, and $y \in X_L$.*

For the conjugacy classes of W, we are particularly interested in elements of minimal length. These elements have useful properties, such as the following.

Proposition 2.5 ([3, Corollary 3.1.11])**.** *Let C be a conjugcay class of W and let w, w' be elements of minimal length in C. Then $J(w') = J(w)^x$ for some $x \in X_{J(w),J(w')}$.*

A conjugacy class C of elements of W is called a *cuspidal class* if $C \cap W_J = \varnothing$ for all proper subsets J of S. Cuspidal classes never fuse in the following sense.

Theorem 2.6 ([3, Theorem 3.2.11])**.** *Let $J \subseteq S$ and let $w \in W_J$ be such that the conjugacy class C_J of w in W_J is cuspidal in W_J. Then*
$$C_J = C \cap W_J,$$
where C is the conjugacy class of w in W.

If w is of minimal length in its conjugacy class, then it is also of minimal length in its conjugacy class in the Coxeter group $W_{J(w)}$, which by [3, Proposition 3.2.12] is a cuspidal class of $W_{J(w)}$

Below, we review some basic facts about Coxeter groups of classical type, that is of type A, B or D. For a more detailed review of the combinatorics of the conjugacy classes of finite Coxeter groups of classical type we refer the reader to the description [6] of the implementation of the character tables of these groups in GAP.

2.1. **Type** A. Suppose W is a Coxeter group of type A_{n-1}. Then W is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on the n points $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, with Coxeter generators $s_i = (i, i+1)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. The *cycle type* of a permutation $w \in W$ is the partition of n, which contains a part l for each l-cycle of w, where fixed points count as 1-cycles. Since any two elements of w are conjugate in W if and only if they have the same cycle type, the conjugacy classes of elements of W are naturally parametrized by the partitions of n.

Here, it will be convenient to write partitions as weakly *increasing* sequences. Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t)$ of n (that is a sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_t$ with $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_t = n$), there is a corresponding parabolic subgroup $W_J = \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_t}$ containing an element w with cycle type λ. A particular element of minimal length in this conjugacy class is the product w_λ of t disjoint cycles consisting of λ_i successive points, for $i = 1, \ldots, t$. For example, a minimal length representative of the conjugacy class of elements with cycle structure 1124 in $\mathfrak{S}_8$ is $w_{1124} = (1)(2)(3,4)(5,6,7,8) = (3,4)(5,6,7,8)$.

Note that w_λ is a Coxeter element of W_J, the product

$$(2.1) \qquad w_\lambda = \prod_{s_i \in J} s_i$$

(in *decreasing* order) of all $s_i \in J$. For example, $J(w_{1124}) = \{s_3, s_5, s_6, s_7\}$, and $w_{1124} = s_7 s_6 s_5 s_3$.

2.2. **Type** B. Suppose W is a Coxeter group of type B_n. Then W is isomorphic to the group of permutations on $\{-n, \ldots, -1, 0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying $w(-i) = -w(i)$. Alternatively, we can represent this group as the group of signed permutations, i.e. maps from $[n]$ to $[n] \cup -[n]$. Instead of $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)(-i_1, -i_2, \ldots, -i_k)$, we write $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$ (positive cycles), and instead of $(i_1 i_2 \ldots, i_k, -i_1, -i_2, \ldots, -i_k)$, we write $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)^-$ (negative cycles). The Coxeter generators are $t_1 = (1)^-$ and $s_i = (i, i+1)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. We also set $t_i = (i)^-$, for $i > 1$. The *cycle type* of a permutation $w \in W$ is a double partition $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ with $|\lambda^+| + |\lambda^-| = n$, so that λ^+ contains a part l for each positive l-cycle of w, and λ^- contains a part l for each negative l-cycle of w. Since any two elements of w are conjugate in W if and only if they have the same cycle type, the conjugacy classes of elements of W are naturally parametrized by the double partitions of n. Given a double

partition (λ^+, λ^-) of n, $\lambda^+ = (\lambda_1^+, \ldots, \lambda_t^+)$, $\lambda^- = (\lambda_1^-, \ldots, \lambda_s^-)$, the corresponding parabolic subgroup W_J is of the form $W(B_{|\lambda^-|}) \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_1^+} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_t^+}$. According to the description [3, 3.4.2] of conjugacy classes of W, there is a minimal length representative w_λ of the corresponding conjugacy class of the following form. The negative cycles contain $1, \ldots, |\lambda^-|$, and the positive cycles contain $|\lambda^-| + 1, \ldots, n$; furthermore, each cycle contains only consecutive numbers in increasing order. For example, a minimal length representative of the conjugacy class corresponding to $\lambda = (112, 23)$ is $w_\lambda = (1,2)^-(3,4,5)^-(6)(7)(8,9)$.

2.3. **Type** D. Suppose W is a Coxeter group of type D_n. Then W is isomorphic to the group of permutations on $\{-n, \ldots, -1, 0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying $w(-i) = -w(i)$ and with an even number of $i > 0$ satisfying $w(i) < 0$. Alternatively, we can represent this group as the group of signed permutations with an even number of i mapping to $-[n]$. These are precisely the signed permutations with an even number of negative cycles. The Coxeter generators are $u = (1, -2)$ and $s_i = (i, i+1)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. The *cycle type* of a permutation $w \in W$ is a double partition (λ^+, λ^-) with $|\lambda^+| + |\lambda^-| = n$, so that λ^+ contains a part l for each positive l-cycle of w, and λ^- contains a part l for each negative l-cycle of w. If two elements of W are conjugate, they have the same cycle type. If they have the same cycle type (λ^+, λ^-) and $|\lambda^-| > 0$ or λ^+ contains an odd part, they are conjugate. If they have the same cycle type $(\lambda^+, \varnothing)$, where λ^+ contains only even parts, they are conjugate if and only if the number of negative numbers in their cycle decomposition has the same parity.

We call a partition *even* if it consists of even parts only. The conjugacy classes of elements of W are naturally parametrized by double partitions of n, where λ^- has an even number of parts, with two classes when $\lambda^- = \varnothing$ and λ^+ is even. Given a double partition (λ^+, λ^-) of n, $\lambda^+ = (\lambda_1^+, \ldots, \lambda_t^+)$, $\lambda^- = (\lambda_1^-, \ldots, \lambda_s^-)$, s even, the corresponding parabolic subgroup W_J is of the form $W(D_{|\lambda^-|}) \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_1^+} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_t^+}$. Furthermore, there is a minimal length representative w_λ of the corresponding conjugacy class of the following form. The negative cycles contain $1, \ldots, |\lambda^-|$, the positive cycles contain $|\lambda^-| + 1, \ldots, n$, and each cycle contains only consecutive numbers in increasing order. If $\lambda^- = \varnothing$ and λ^+ has only even parts, then there is an extra representative w_λ' with the first positive cycle starting with -1 instead of 1. For example, for $\lambda = (112, 23)$, we have $w_\lambda = (1,2)^-(3,4,5)^-(6)(7)(8,9)$, and for $(224, \varnothing)$, we have $w_\lambda = (1,2)(3,4)(5,6,7,8)$ and $w_\lambda' = (-1,2)(3,4)(5,6,7,8)$.

3. Centralizers.

In this section we prove a general theorem about the structure of element centralizers in finite Coxeter groups. It is shown to be a consequence of Theorem 2.6, which in the book [3] has been established by a careful case-by-case analysis. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $w \in W$ is an element of minimal length in its conjugacy class in W.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $w \in W$ has minimal length in its conjugacy class in W and let $J = J(w)$. Then $C_W(w)W_J = N_W(W_J)$.*

Clearly, part (i) of Theorem 1.1 follows from this result.

Proof. Denote by C the conjugacy class of w in W and by C_J its conjugacy class in W_J, which is cuspidal in W_J. By Theorem 2.6, $C_J = C \cap W_J$, which implies that for every $x \in N_W(W_J)$ there exists an element $u \in W_J$ with $w^x = w^u$. So $xu^{-1} \in C_W(w)$, i.e. $x \in C_W(w)W_J$, and hence $N_W(W_J) \subseteq C_W(w)W_J$.

Now it only remains to show that $C_W(w) \subseteq N_W(W_J)$. Let $y \in C_W(w)$ and write it as $y = uxv^{-1}$ for $u, v \in W_J$ and a double coset representative $x \in X_{JJ}$. From $w \in C_J \cap C_J^y$ it then follows that $w^v \in C_J \cap C_J^x \subseteq W_J \cap W_J^x = W_{J \cap J^x}$, by Theorem 2.3, and since C_J is a cuspidal class in W_J, we must have $J \cap J^x = J$, whence $x \in N_J \subseteq N_W(W_J)$ and thus $y = uxv^{-1} \in N_W(W_J)$.   □

The following additional results are of independent interest and will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.1 below.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $w \in W$ has minimal length in its conjugacy class in W and let $J = J(w)$. Then the following hold.*

  (i) *The conjugacy class of w in W is a disjoint union of conjugates of the conjugacy class of w in W_J.*
 (ii) *If $a \in C_W(w)$ and $x \in X_J$ are such that $C_{W_J}(w)a \subseteq W_Jx$, then $x \in N_J$.*
(iii) *If $x \in X_J$ is such that $\ell(w^x) = \ell(w)$, then $J(w^x) = J^x$.*
 (iv) *If $v \in W$ is such that $\ell(w^v) = \ell(w)$, then $J(w^x) = J^x$, where $v = u \cdot x$ with $u \in W_J$ and $x \in X_J$.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from the proof of Theorem 3.1.

(iii) Let $K = J(w^x)$. By Proposition 2.5, there exists an element $y \in X_{KJ}$ such that $K^y = J$. Hence $w^{xy} \in W_J$ and $\ell(w^{xy}) = \ell(w^x) = \ell(w)$ and (since $C \cap W_J = C_J$) $w^{xy} = w^u$ for some $u \in W_J$. Moreover, $X_K = yX_J$. Hence $u^{-1}xy$ centralizes w^u, and if we write $u^{-1}xy = a \cdot z$ for $a \in W_J$ and $z \in X_J$ then, by (ii), $z \in N_J$. It follows that $zy^{-1} \in X_{JK}$ is the unique minimal length representative of the coset W_Jx, hence $x = zy^{-1}$ and $J^x = J(w^x)$.

(iv) We have $w^v = (w^u)^x$. Conjugation with x does not decrease the length (Lemma 2.1), so $\ell(w) = \ell(w^v) \geq \ell(w^u)$ and therefore $\ell(w^u) = \ell(w)$. By (iii), with w replaced by w^u, we have $J(w^v) = J(w^u)^x$, and $J(w^u) = J(w)$, which finishes the proof.   □

4. Complements.

In this section we prove part (ii) of Theorem 1.1 for each type of irreducible finite Coxeter group, case by case. We start with the general observation that part (ii) of the theorem is straightforward in the following situations.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $w \in W$ be an element of minimal length in its conjugacy class in W, and let $J = J(w)$. If w is cuspidal in W or if $C_{W_J}(w) = W_J$ then N_J is a complement of $C_{W_J}(w)$ in $C_W(w)$.*

Proof. If w is cuspidal then $W_J = W$ and both quotients $N_W(W_J)/W_J$ and $C_W(w)/C_{W_J}(w)$ are trivial.

If $C_{W_J}(w) = W_J$ then $w = w_J$ and $C_W(w) = N_W(W_J)$ [8, Proposition 2.2]. □

Our general strategy in search of a centralizer complement for w will be to identify a complement M of W_J in its normalizer that centralizes w. More precisely, we have the following consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $w \in W$ be of minimal length in its conjugacy class, let $J = J(w)$ and suppose that the normalizer complement N_J is generated by elements $x_1, \ldots, x_r$. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_r \in W_J$ be such that $u_i x_i \in C_W(w)$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, and set $M = \langle u_1 x_1, \ldots, u_r x_r \rangle$. Then M is a complement of $C_{W_J}(w)$ in $C_W(w)$ provided that $M \cap W_J = 1$.*

Proof. Clearly, $W_J M = W_J N_J = N_W(W_J)$. From $M \cap W_J = 1$ it then follows that M is a complement of W_J in its normalizer. Moreover, M is a subgroup of $C_W(w)$ since each of its generators centralizes w. From Theorem 3.1 it then follows that M is a complement of $C_{W_J}(w)$ in $C_W(w)$. □

4.1. **Type A.** Let $\lambda = (1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \ldots, n^{a_n})$ be a partition of n, let w_λ be as in (2.1) and let $J = J(w_\lambda)$. Then W_J is a direct product

$$W_J = \mathfrak{S}_1^{a_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_n^{a_n}$$

of symmetric groups, and its normalizer

$$N_W(W_J) = \mathfrak{S}_1 \wr \mathfrak{S}_{a_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_2 \wr \mathfrak{S}_{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_n \wr \mathfrak{S}_{a_n}$$

is a direct product of wreath products of symmetric with symmetric groups. In a similar way, the centralizer

$$C_W(w_\lambda) = C_1 \wr \mathfrak{S}_{a_1} \times C_2 \wr \mathfrak{S}_{a_2} \times \cdots \times C_n \wr \mathfrak{S}_{a_n}$$

is a direct product of wreath products of cyclic with symmetric groups, and the centralizer

$$C_{W_J}(w_\lambda) = C_1^{a_1} \times C_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times C_n^{a_n}.$$

is a direct product of cyclic groups. Clearly, the quotients $N_W(W_J)/W_J$ and $C_W(w_\lambda)/C_{W_J}(w_\lambda)$ are both isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_{a_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{a_n}$. In order to show that the particular normalizer complement N_J is also a complement of $C_{W_J}(w_\lambda)$ in $C_W(w_\lambda)$, we introduce some notation. Let us define as

(4.1) $s(o, m) = (s_{o+1} s_{o+2} \cdots s_{o+2m-1})^m = (o+1, o+2, \ldots, o+2m)^m$

the permutation that swaps, after an offset o, two adjacent blocks of m points $\{o+1, \ldots, o+m\}$ and $\{o+m+1, \ldots, o+2m\}$. For example, $s(2,3) = (s_3 s_4 s_5 s_6 s_7)^3 = (3,4,5,6,7,8)^3 = (3,6)(4,7)(5,8)$. And $s_i = s(i-1,1)$. Then

$$N_J = \mathfrak{S}_{a_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{a_n}$$

is a direct product of symmetric groups $\mathfrak{S}_{a_m}$, with Coxeter generators $s(o_m, m)$, $s(o_m+m, m)$, ..., $s(o_m+(a_m-2)m, m)$, and offsets

$$(4.2) \qquad\qquad o_m = a_1 + 2a_2 + \cdots + (m-1)a_{m-1},$$

for those $m \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $a_m > 0$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let λ be a partition of n, let w_λ be the permutation with cycle structure λ from (2.1) and let $J = J(w_\lambda)$ be the corresponding subset of S. Then N_J is a complement of $C_{W_J}(w_\lambda)$ in $C_W(w_\lambda)$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\lambda = (m^a)$ since all of W_J, $N_W(W_J)$, $C_W(w_\lambda)$, $C_{W_J}(w_\lambda)$, and N_J are subgroups of the direct product

$$\mathfrak{S}_{1^{a_1}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{2^{a_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{n^{a_n}}$$

inside $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and one can argue componentwise.

If $\lambda = (m^a)$, then N_J is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_a$, with $a-1$ Coxeter generators $s(o, m)$, $s(m, m)$, ..., $s((a-2)m, m)$, permuting the blocks of m points

$$\{1, \ldots, m\}, \{m+1, \ldots, 2m\}, \ldots, \{(a-1)m+1, \ldots, am\}.$$

Clearly

$$w_\lambda = (1, \ldots, m)(m+1, \ldots, 2m) \cdots ((a-1)m+1, \ldots, am)$$

is centralized by N_J. The claim now follows from Theorem 3.1. $\square$

4.2. **Type B.** Let λ be a double partition of n with $\lambda^+ = (1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \ldots, n^{a_n})$ and $\lambda^- = (1^{b_1}, 2^{b_2}, \ldots, n^{b_n})$, let w_λ be as in Section 2.2, and let $J = J(w_\lambda)$ be the corresponding subset of S. Then W_J is a direct product

$$W_J = W(B_{|\lambda^-|}) \times \mathfrak{S}_1^{a_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_n^{a_n}$$

and its normalizer

$$N_W(W_J) = W(B_{|\lambda^-|}) \times \mathfrak{S}_1 \wr W(B_{a_1}) \times \mathfrak{S}_2 \wr W(B_{a_2}) \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_n \wr W(B_{a_n})$$

is a direct product of $W(B_{|\lambda^-|})$ with wreath products of symmetric groups and Coxeter groups of type B. In a similar way, the centralizer

$$C_W(w_\lambda) = C_{W(B_{|\lambda^-|})}(w_\lambda) \times C_1 \wr W(B_{a_1}) \times C_2 \wr W(B_{a_2}) \times \cdots \times C_n \wr W(B_{a_n})$$

is a direct product of $C_{W(B_{|\lambda^-|})}(w_\lambda)$ and wreath products, and the centralizer

$$C_{W_J}(w_\lambda) = C_{W(B_{|\lambda^-|})}(w_\lambda) \times C_1^{a_1} \times C_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times C_n^{a_n}$$

is a direct product of $C_{W(B_{|\lambda^-|})}(w_\lambda)$ and cyclic groups. Clearly, the quotients $N_W(W_J)/W_J$ and $C_W(w_\lambda)/C_{W_J}(w_\lambda)$ are both isomorphic to $W(B_{a_1}) \times W(B_{a_2}) \times \cdots \times W(B_{a_n})$. In order to show that a variant of the particular normalizer complement N_J is a complement of $C_{W_J}(w_\lambda)$ in $C_W(w_\lambda)$, we introduce some more notation.

Denote by $r(o, m)$ the permutation defined by

$$x.r(o, m) = \begin{cases} 2o + m + 1 - x, & \text{if } o + 1 \le x \le o + m, \\ x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this way, $r(o, m) = (o + 1, o + m)(o+2, o+m-1) \cdots$ reverses the range $\{o + 1, \ldots, o+m\}$ and thus is the longest element of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{\{o+1,\ldots,o+m\}}$ with Coxeter generators $s_{o+1}, \ldots, s_{o+m-1}$. For example, $r(2, 5) = (3, 7)(4, 6)$.

Moreover, denote

$$t(o, m) = (o+1)^-(o+2)^- \cdots (o+m)^-,$$

which acts as -1 on the points $\{o+1, o+2, \ldots, o+m\}$ and as identity everywhere else.

If $\lambda^+ = (m^a)$ and $\lambda^- = \varnothing$, then W_J is a direct product of a copies of $\mathfrak{S}_m$ and N_J is isomorphic to $W(B_a)$, with Coxeter generators

$$r(0, m)\, t(0, m) \text{ and } s(0, m),\ s(m, m),\ \ldots,\ s((a-2)m, m).$$

In general, if $\lambda^+ = (1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \ldots, n^{a_n})$, then W_J is a direct product of $W(B_{|\lambda^-|})$ and direct products of isomorphic symmetric groups $\mathfrak{S}_m$ and N_J is a direct product of groups $W(B_{a_m})$, with Coxeter generators

$$r(o_m, m)\, t(o_m, m) \text{ and } s(o_m, m),\ s(o_m + m, m),\ \ldots,\ s(o_m + (a_m-2)m, m)$$

and offsets

(4.3) $$o_m = |\lambda^-| + a_1 + 2a_2 + \cdots + (m - 1)a_{m-1},$$

for those $m \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $a_m > 0$. Unfortunately, this group N_J usually does not centralize w_λ. However, if we define a group N_λ as the subgroup of W generated by the same elements as N_J, with $t(o_m, m)$ in place of $r(o_m, m)\, t(o_m, m)$, then N_λ is a centralizing complement.

Proposition 4.4. *Let λ be a double partition of n, let w_λ be as in Section 2.2, and let $J = J(w_\lambda)$ be the corresponding subset of S. Then*

$$N_\lambda = \langle t(o_m, m),\ s(o_m+km, m) \mid k = 0, \ldots, a_m-2,\ m = 1, \ldots, n,\ a_m > 0 \rangle$$

is a complement of $C_{W_J}(w_\lambda)$ in $C_W(w_\lambda)$.

Proof. Clearly, N_λ centralizes w_λ since its generators $t(o_m, m)$ and $s(o_m+km, m)$ do. The statement now follows with Proposition 4.2 from the fact that N_λ is a complement of W_J in its normalizer in W. $\qquad\square$

4.3. **Type** D. The case of Coxeter groups of type D_n is best dealt with by comparing it to the situation in type B_n. Throughout this section, we assume $n \geq 4$, denote by W the Coxeter group of type B_n, and by W^+ the Coxeter group of type D_n consisting of the signed permutations with an even number of negative cycles, as described in Section 2.3.

The following properties are easy to establish and we leave their proofs to the reader.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $w \in W$ be an element of cycle type $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ such that λ^- has an even number of parts and that w has minimal length in its conjugacy class in W. Also let $J = J(w)$. Then the following hold.*
   (i) *w has minimal length in its class in W^+.*
   (ii) *If $\lambda^- = \varnothing$ and λ^+ is even then $C_{W^+}(w) = C_W(w)$, otherwise $C_{W^+}(w)$ has index 2 in $C_W(w)$.*
   (iii) *If $J^+ = S^+ \cap W_J$ then $W_{J^+}^+$ is the smallest parabolic subgroup of W^+ containing w.*
   (iv) *If $\lambda^- = \varnothing$ then $J^+ = J$, otherwise $W_{J^+}^+ = W_J \cap W^+$ is a subgroup of index 2 in W_J*
   (v) *$C_{W_{J^+}^+}(w) = C_{W_J}(w) \cap W^+$ is a subgroup of index 2 in $C_{W_J}(w)$ unless $\lambda^- = \varnothing$.*
   (vi) *If λ^+ is not even and $\lambda^- = \varnothing$ then $N_{J^+}^+ = N_J \cap W^+$ is a subgroup of index 2 in N_J, otherwise $N_J \cong N_{J^+}^+$.*

The parabolic subgroup $W_{J^+}^+$ is of the form $D_{|\lambda^-|} \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_1^+} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_t^+}$, where D_m is the subgroup of W^+ generated by $\{u, s_1, \ldots, s_{m-1}\}$, for $m = 2, \ldots, n$.

Definition 4.6. We call a double partition $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ a *non-compliant double partition* if λ^+ consists of a single odd part m and λ^- is a nonempty even partition of even length.

We call a conjugacy class C of W a *non-compliant class*, if, for some odd $n > 4$, there is a non-compliant double partition λ of n and a parabolic subgroup W_M of W which has an irreducible component W_K of type D_n, such that C contains an element of W_M whose projection on W_K has cycle type λ.

For example, the elements of $W = W(D_5)$ with cycle type $(1, 22)$ form a non-compliant class. For another example, the elements of $W = W(D_7)$ of cycle type $(21, 22)$ form a non-compliant class, as some of them lie in a parabolic subgroup W_M of type $D_5 \times A_1$, with D_5-part of cycle type $(1, 22)$.

Lemma 4.7. *An element $w \in W(D_n)$ of cycle type $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ lies in a non-compliant class if and only if λ^+ is not even and λ^- is nonempty and even.*

The next result shows that, in a Coxeter group W^+ of type D_n, the centralizer $C_{W^+}(w)$ splits over $C_{W_J^+}(w)$, unless the class of $w \in W^+$ is non-compliant. Here, we write $J^+(w) \subseteq S^+$ for the set of generators occurring in a reduced expression

of w when considered as an element of W^+, in order to distinguish it from the set $J(w) \subseteq S$ of generators in a reduced expression of $w \in W$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let* $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ *be a double partition of n be such that $\ell(\lambda^-)$ is even. Let w_λ and N_λ be as in Proposition 4.4 and let $J^+ = J^+(w_\lambda)$ be the corresponding subset of S^+. Then the following hold.*

    (i) *If λ^+ is even then N_λ is a complement of $C_{W^+_{J^+}}(w_\lambda)$ in $C_{W^+}(w_\lambda)$.*

    (ii) *If λ^+ is not even and $\lambda^- = \varnothing$ then $N_\lambda \cap W^+$ is a subgroup of index 2 in N_λ and a complement of $C_{W^+_{J^+}}(w_\lambda)$ in $C_{W^+}(w_\lambda)$.*

    (iii) *If $\lambda^+ = (1^{a_1}, \ldots, n^{a_n})$ and $\lambda^- = (\lambda^-_1, \ldots, \lambda^-_s)$ is not even then there is an index $j \le s$ such that $k = \lambda^-_1 + \cdots + \lambda^-_j$ is odd, and the subgroup*

$$N_\lambda^+ = \langle t(0, k)^m t(o_m, m), s(o_m + im, m) \mid i = 0, \ldots, a_m - 2, \ m = 1, \ldots, n, \ a_m > 0 \rangle$$

is a complement of $C_{W^+_{J^+}}(w_\lambda)$ in $C_{W^+}(w_\lambda)$.

Note that $t(0, k)^m = 1$ if m is even and $t(0, k)^m = t(0, k)$ if m is odd.

Proof. Let $J = J(w_\lambda)$ be the subset of S corresponding to λ. In all three cases it suffices to find a complement N^* of W_J in its normalizer in W that centralizes w_λ such that $|N^* \cap W^+| = |N_{J^+}^+|$. For then $N^* \cap W_{J^+}^+ = 1$ and $N_{W^+}(W_{J^+}^+) \subseteq N_W(W_J) = W_J N^*$ imply that $N^* \cap W^+$ is a complement of $W_{J^+}^+$ in its normalizer in W^+ that centralizes w_λ, and the claim follows with Proposition 4.2.

    (i) If λ^+ is even then N_λ is contained in W^+ and $N^* = N_\lambda$ will do.

    (ii) If $\lambda^- = \varnothing$ and λ^+ is not even then $J^+ = J$ but N_{J^+} is subgroup of index 2 in N_J and $N^* = N_\lambda \cap W^+$ will do.

    (iii) If λ^- is not even then N_λ^+ is a complement of W_J in its normalizer in W that is contained in W^+ and centralizes w_λ, whence $N^* = N_\lambda^+$ will do. $\qquad\square$

4.4. **Type I.** Suppose W is a Coxeter group of type $I_2(m)$. Then W is the group generated by generators s_1 and s_2 satisfying $(s_1 s_2)^m = (s_2 s_1)^m$. Each element of W is either cuspidal or an involution. Hence the theorem for this type follows from Lemma 4.1.

4.5. **Exceptional Types.** Although in type A each conjugacy class contains an element w such that the normalizer complement N_J is also a centralizer complement, this cannot be expected in general to be the case. However, from the preceding examples one sees that it is frequently possible to construct from N_J an isomorphic copy N_J^* which is a centralizer complement. In each of the above examples, N_J^* is obtained from N_J by replacing generators x_i of N_J by products $w_L x_i$ for suitable subsets $L \subseteq J$.

Based on this observation, we formulate an algorithm, which in practice always finds a centralizer complement, except for elements of non-compliant classes.

Algorithm CentralizerComplement.

Input: A finite Coxeter group W and an element w of minimal length in its conjugacy class in W.

Output: a centralizer complement for w, or fail if none exists.

1. set $J \leftarrow J(w)$.
2. find involutions $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ generating the normalizer complement N_J.
3. for each element v of minimal length in the W_J-conjugacy class of w do the following:
   - let $u \in W_J$ be such that $v^u = w$;
   - for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, set

$$Y_i \leftarrow \begin{cases} \{x_i\}, & \text{if } v^{x_i} = v, \\ \{w_L x_i : L \subseteq J,\ x_i^{w_L} = x_i,\ v^{w_L} = v^{x_i}\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

   - if there are elements $y_i \in Y_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, such that $M = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_r \rangle$ satisfies $M \cap W_J = 1$ then return M^u.
4. return fail (if we ever get here).

Note that, by Proposition 4.2, any group M found in this way is necessarily a complement of the centralizer of w in W_J.

For W irreducible of exceptional type, the algorithm produces a centralizer complement in all but seven cases. Each case corresponds to a non-compliant class from the following table. In this table we list, for each non-compliant class C of W, its position i in CHEVIE's list of conjugacy classes of W, its name, a reduced expression for a representative w of minimal length, the set $J(w)$, a set $M \supseteq J(w)$, the type of W_M exhibiting a direct factor of type D_{2l+1}, and the label λ of the conjugacy class of $W(D_{2l+1})$ containing the projection of w.

W	i	name	$w \in C$	$J(w)$	M	type	λ
E_6	7	$D_4(a_1)$	342345	2345	12345	D_5	$(1, 22)$
E_7	9	$D_4(a_1)$	425423	2345	12345	D_5	$(1, 22)$
	42	$D_4(a_1) + A_1$	4254237	23457	123457	$D_5 \times A_1$	$(1, 22)$
E_8	16	$D_4(a_1)$	242345	2345	12345	D_5	$(1, 22)$
	44	$D_6(a_1)$	24234567	234567	2345678	D_7	$(1, 42)$
	53	$D_4(a_1) + A_2$	34234578	234578	1234578	$D_5 \times A_2$	$(1, 22)$
	73	$D_4(a_1) + A_1$	3542348	23458	123458	$D_5 \times A_1$	$(1, 22)$

In the next section we show that in all of these cases, and indeed whenever w lies in a non-compliant class, no complement exists.

4.6. **Non-compliance.** The class of $W(D_5)$ with label $\lambda = (1, 22)$ contains the element

$$w_\lambda = t s_1 s_2 s_1 t s_1 s_2 s_3 = (t s_1 t) s_2 (t s_1 t) s_1 s_2 s_3 = u s_2 u s_1 s_2 s_3,$$

which lies in the parabolic subgroup W_J of type D_4. Its centralizer $C_{W_J}(w)$ in $W(D_4)$ has order 16 and its centralizer $C_W(w)$ in $W(D_5)$ has order 32. However, $C_{W_J}(w)$ has no complement in $C_W(w)$, since the coset $C_W(w) \setminus C_{W_J}(w)$ contains no element of order 2 (as a straightforward computation in GAP will confirm).

The next result shows that this is indeed always the case, when the cycle type of $w \in W(D_n)$ is a non-compliant double partition of n.

Proposition 4.9. *Suppose that W is of type D_n and let $w \in W$ be an element of minimal length in its conjugacy class with $J(w) = J$. If the cycle type of $w \in W$ is a non-compliant double partition of n then the centralizer $C_{W_J}(w)$ has no complement in $C_W(w)$.*

Proof. Recall that elements of $W(B_n)$ can be represented as signed permutation matrices, i.e., matrices with exactly one non-zero entry 1 or -1 in each row and column. Such an element lies in $W(D_n)$ if and only if its matrix has an even number of entries -1, and it is an involution if and only if the matrix is symmetric.

Now suppose that $n = m + k$ is odd and that $w \in W = W(D_n)$ is an element of minimal length in a conjugacy class with cycle type $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ where the partition λ^+ consists of a single odd part m and λ^- is a nontrivial partition of an even number k, consisting of an even number of even parts. Then W_J for $J = J(w)$ has type $D_k \times A_{m-1}$ and, by the description of N_J in Section 4.2 and Lemma 4.5(vi), its normalizer $N_W(W_J)$ has a complement of order 2 (and of type B_1), generated by the quotient $w_J w_0$.

We may assume that $J = S \setminus \{s_{k+1}\}$, so that, as signed permutation on the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the element w induces an even number of negative cycles on the k points $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and a positive m-cycle on the m points $\{k+1, \ldots, n\}$. The centralizer $C_W(w)$ cannot move points from outside the m-cycle into the m-cycle and thus consists of block diagonal matrices

$$\mathrm{diag}(A, B) = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix},$$

of a $k \times k$ matrix A and an $m \times m$-matrix B, which modulo 2 have the same number of entries -1 since $C_W(w)$ is a subgroup of $W(D_n)$. Moreover, for each element $\mathrm{diag}(A, B)$ in $C_W(w)$ the number of entries -1 on the diagonal of A, is even, since with every point in $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ being mapped to its negative, the entire cycle which contains it must be negated.

The centralizer of w in W_J consists precisely of those elements $\mathrm{diag}(A, B) \in C_W(w)$ which have an even number of entries -1 in both A and B, since A is the matrix of an element in $W(D_k)$.

Let u be an involution in $C_W(w)$. Then its matrix $\mathrm{diag}(A, B)$ is symmetric, and an even number of entries -1 on the diagonal of A implies that both A and B have an even number of entries -1 and thus $u \in C_{W_J}(w)$.

It follows that $C_{W_J}(w)$ has no complement in $C_W(w)$. $\square$

More generally, if w lies in a non-compliant class of a finite Coxeter group W, then its centralizer has no complement.

Theorem 4.10. *Let W be a finite Coxeter group. Suppose w is an element of minimal length in a non-compliant conjugacy class of W with $J(w) = J$. Then the centralizer $C_{W_J}(w)$ has no complement in $C_W(w)$.*

Proof. Suppose first that W is a direct product $W_1 \times W_2$ of nontrivial standard parabolic subgroups W_1 and W_2, that $w = w_1 w_2$ with $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$, and that w_1 lies in a non-compliant class of W_1. Then $W_J = W_{J_1} \times W_{J_2}$ for certain subsets $J_1 \subseteq W_1 \cap S$ and $J_2 \subseteq W_2 \cap S$. If $C_{W_{J_1}}(w_1)$ has no complement in $C_{W_1}(w_1)$ then $C_{W_J}(w)$ cannot have a complement in $C_W(w) = C_{W_1}(w_1) \times C(w_2)$.

Next, suppose that $w \in W_L$ for some $L \subseteq S$ and that w lies in a non-compliant class of W_L. Suppose N is a complement of $C_{W_J}(w)$ in $C_W(w)$, that is $C_W(w) = C_{W_J}(w) \rtimes N$. Then the centralizer of w in W_L,

$$C_{W_L}(w) = C_W(w) \cap W_L = (C_{W_J}(w) \rtimes N) \cap W_L = C_{W_J}(w) \rtimes (N \cap W_L),$$

in contradiction to our assumption that w lies in a non-compliant class.

The theorem now follows from Definition 4.6 and Proposition 4.9. $\qquad\square$

5. Applications.

In this section we first use Theorem 1.1 to prove a result about minimal length representatives of conjugacy classes. Then we show how it implies the celebrated Solomon's character formula. Finally, we discuss the interpretation of Solomon's theorem as a Coxeter group analogue of MacMahon master theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume w has minimal length in its conjugacy class in W. Then the following hold for any $v \in W$:*

  (i) $J(w^v) = \mathcal{D}(v^{-1}) \iff v = w_{J(w)};$
  (ii) $J(w^v) = \mathcal{A}(v^{-1}) \iff v = w_{J(w)} w_0.$

Proof. Let $L = \mathcal{D}(v^{-1})$. Then $v^{-1} = w_L \cdot x$ for some $x \in X_L$, by Lemma 2.2. Clearly $\ell((w^v)^{w_L}) = \ell(w^v)$, since $J(w^v) = L$. By Lemma 2.1, conjugation by the coset representative x does not decrease the length, hence $\ell(w^v) = \ell((w^v)^{w_L}) \leq \ell((w^v)^{w_L x}) = \ell(w)$ and it follows that w^v has minimal length in its conjugacy class as well. By Proposition 2.5, $L = J(w^v)$ and $J(w)$ are conjugate subsets of S. Proposition 3.2 (iv) says more precisely that x is a conjugating element, i.e., $L^x = J(w)$.

Assume that $\ell(x) > 0$ and let $s \in \mathcal{D}(x)$. Then $s \notin L$, since $x \in X_L$; denote $L \cup \{s\}$ by M. By Theorem 2.4, x is a reduced product $x = d \cdot y$ with $y \in X_M$ and $d = w_L w_M$, the longest coset representative of W_L in W_M. It follows that $v^{-1} = w_L \cdot x = w_M \cdot y$, whence $M \subseteq \mathcal{D}(v^{-1}) = L \subsetneq M$. The contradiction shows that $x = 1$, and therefore $v = w_L$ and $L = J(w)$.

(ii) Note that $J(w^{w_0}) = J(w)^{w_0}$, $\mathcal{D}(x^{w_0}) = \mathcal{D}(x)^{w_0}$, and $\mathcal{A}(x) = \mathcal{D}(xw_0)$ for all $x \in W$, whence $\mathcal{D}(x)^{w_0} = \mathcal{A}(w_0 x)$. Therefore, it follows from (i) that

$$J(w^{xw_0}) = J(w^x)^{w_0} = \mathcal{D}(x^{-1})^{w_0} = \mathcal{A}((xw_0)^{-1}) \iff x = w_{J(w)},$$

as desired, for $v = xw_0$.   □

The following formula, first proved by Solomon [11] in 1966, is an easy consequence of the previous result.

Theorem 5.2 (Solomon's theorem). *For $J \subseteq S$, let π_J denote the permutation character of the action of W on the cosets of W_J defined by $\pi_J(w) = \left|\mathrm{Fix}_{W/W_J}(w)\right|$, and let ϵ be the sign character of W, defined by $\epsilon(w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)}$ for $w \in W$. Then*

$$\sum_{J \subseteq S} (-1)^{|J|} \pi_J = \epsilon.$$

Proof. The formula follows if we can show that $\sum_{J \subseteq S} (-1)^{|J|} \pi_J(w) = \epsilon(w)$ for all $w \in W$. We have $W_J xw = W_J x \iff xwx^{-1} \in W_J$, so

$$\sum_{J \subseteq S} (-1)^{|J|} \pi_J(w) = \sum_{J \subseteq S} (-1)^{|J|} \sum_{\substack{x \in X_J \\ xwx^{-1} \in W_J}} 1 = \sum_{x \in W} \sum_{J(xwx^{-1}) \subseteq J \subseteq \mathcal{A}(x)} (-1)^{|J|},$$

where we reversed the order of summation and used the facts that $x \in X_J \iff J \subseteq \mathcal{A}(x)$ and $xwx^{-1} \in W_J \iff J(xwx^{-1}) \subseteq J$. The Binomial Theorem implies that

$$\sum_{A \subseteq J \subseteq B} (-1)^{|J|} = (-1)^{|A|} \sum_{I \subseteq B \setminus A} (-1)^{|I|} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|A|} & \text{if } A = B, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

But then

$$\sum_{J \subseteq S} (-1)^{|J|} \pi_J(w) = \sum_{\substack{x \in W \\ J(xwx^{-1}) = \mathcal{A}(x)}} (-1)^{|\mathcal{A}(x)|}.$$

Since $\sum_{J \subseteq S} (-1)^{|J|} \pi_J$ is a class function, it is enough to choose w with minimal length in its conjugacy class. By Theorem 5.1(ii), this sum then consists only of the one term for $x^{-1} = w_{J(w)} w_0$, and we have

$$\sum_{J \subseteq S} (-1)^{|J|} \pi_J(w) = (-1)^{|\mathcal{A}(w_0 w_{J(w)})|}.$$

But $\left|\mathcal{A}(w_0 w_{J(w)})\right| = \left|\mathcal{D}(w_{J(w)})^{w_0}\right| = \left|\mathcal{D}(w_{J(w)})\right| = |J(w)|$ and then the claim follows from the fact that $(-1)^{|J(w)|} = (-1)^{\ell(w)}$ [3, Exercise 3.17].   □

Finally, let us explain how to interpret Solomon's theorem as a generalization of a special case of the celebrated MacMahon master theorem.

MacMahon's master theorem states that for a matrix $X = (x_{ij})_{n \times n}$, the functions

$$(5.1) \qquad \frac{1}{\det(I - X)}$$

and

$$\sum_w x_{v_1 w_1} x_{v_2 w_2} \cdots x_{v_m w_m},$$

where w runs over all words in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and v is the weakly increasing rearrangement of w, are equal. In particular, for any permutation $w \in S_n$, the coefficient of $x_{1w_1} \cdots x_{nw_n}$ in (5.1) is equal to 1. We have

$$\frac{1}{\det(I - X)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \det X_I} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{\varnothing \neq I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} \det X_I}$$

$$= \sum_{k \geq 0} \Big(\sum_{\varnothing \neq I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} \det X_I \Big)^k = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum (-1)^{|I_1|-1+\ldots+|I_k|-1} \det X_{I_1} \cdots \det X_{I_k},$$

where the last sum runs over all k-tuples $(I_1, \ldots, I_k)$ of non-empty subsets of $[n]$. Since we are interested in the coefficient of $x_{1w_1} \cdots x_{nw_n}$ (in which all indices are represented, and each index is represented only twice, once as a first index and once as a second index), we can limit the sum to ordered set partitions $(I_1, \ldots, I_k)$ of the set $[n]$. Note that we have $(-1)^{|I_1|-1+\ldots+|I_k|-1} = (-1)^{n-k}$.

Choose a composition $\lambda \vdash n$. By Merris-Watkins formula [5] (and not hard to prove independently), the coefficient of $x_{1w_1} \cdots x_{nw_n}$ in

$$\sum \det X_{I_1} \cdots \det X_{I_k},$$

where the sum runs over all ordered set partitions $(I_1, \ldots, I_k)$ of $[n]$ with $|I_j| = \lambda_j$ for all j, is equal to $(-1)^{\ell(w)} \pi_J$, where J is the subset of S that corresponds to the composition λ. This means that

$$\sum (-1)^{n-|\lambda|} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \pi_J = 1,$$

where the sum runs over all subsets J of S. This is obviously equivalent to Solomon's theorem.

References

[1] Brigitte Brink and Robert B. Howlett, *Normalizers of parabolic subgroups in Coxeter groups*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999), 323–351. MR 2000b:20048

[2] Meinolf Geck, Gerhard Hiß, Frank Lübeck, Gunter Malle, and Götz Pfeiffer, CHEVIE — *A system for computing and processing generic character tables*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **7** (1996), 175–210. MR 99m:20017

[3] Meinolf Geck and Götz Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 21, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. MR 2002k:20017

[4] Robert. B. Howlett, *Normalizers of parabolic subgroups of reflection groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **21** (1980), 62–80. MR 81g:20094

[5] Russell Merris and William Watkins, *Inequalities and identities for generalized matrix functions*, Linear Algebra Appl. **64** (1985), 223–242.

[6] Götz Pfeiffer, *Character tables of Weyl groups in GAP*, Bayreuth. Math. Schr. (1994), no. 47, 165–222. MR 95d:20027

[7] Götz Pfeiffer, *ZigZag — A GAP3 Package for Descent Algebras of Finite Coxeter Groups*, 2010, Version 0.77, electronically available at http://schmidt.nuigalway.ie/zigzag.

[8] Götz Pfeiffer and Gerhard Röhrle, *Special involutions and bulky parabolic subgroups in finite Coxeter groups*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **79** (2005), no. 1, 141–147. MR 2006d:20068

[9] R. W. Richardson, *Conjugacy classes of involutions in Coxeter groups*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **26** (1982), 1–15. MR 84c:20056

[10] Martin Schönert et al., *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming*, Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, fifth ed., 1995, Home page: http://www.gap-system.org.

[11] Louis Solomon, *The orders of the finite Chevalley groups*, J. Algebra **3** (1966), 376–393. MR 0199275 (33 #7424)

M.K.: Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville TN, USA
E-mail address: matjaz.konvalinka@vanderbilt.edu

G.P., C.R.: School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics, NUI Galway, University Road, Galway, Ireland
E-mail address: goetz.pfeiffer@nuigalway.ie, claas.roever@nuigalway.ie