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## Symmetric designs



A $(v, k, \lambda)$-design is a set of $v$ points and a collection of $k$-sets of points (blocks), such that any two points occur together in exactly $\lambda$ blocks.

A $(v, k, \lambda)$-design is symmetric if it has exactly $v$ blocks.
Famous examples include finite projective planes and Hadamard designs.
A symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$-design has $v=\frac{k(k-1)}{\lambda}+1$.
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## Bruck-Ryser-Chowla theorem (1950)
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## BRC proof

If $M$ is the incidence matrix of a symmetric design, then $M M^{\top}$ looks like

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}
k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
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\end{array}\right)
$$
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\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
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The BRC theorem can be proved by observing that

- $\left|M M^{T}\right|=|M|^{2}$ is square; and
- $M M^{T} \sim I\left(M M^{T}\right.$ is rationally congruent to $\left.I\right)$.
( $A \sim B$ if $A=Q B Q^{T}$ for an invertible rational matrix $Q$.)
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## BRC results for coverings

Bose and Connor (1952) used similar methods to establish the non-existence of certain symmetric coverings with 1 -regular excesses.

$$
M^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda+1 & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda+1 \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## BRC results for coverings

Bose and Connor (1952) used similar methods to establish the non-existence of certain symmetric coverings with 1 -regular excesses.

## BRC results for coverings

Bose and Connor (1952) used similar methods to establish the non-existence of certain symmetric coverings with 1-regular excesses.
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When $v=\frac{k(k-1)-2}{\lambda}+1$, a symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$-covering must have a 2 -regular excess.
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When $v=\frac{k(k-1)-2}{\lambda}+1$, a symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$-covering must have a 2 -regular excess.
The rest of this talk is about nonexistence of symmetric coverings with 2-regular excesses.

## Degenerate coverings

## Degenerate coverings

There is a $(v, v-2, v-4)$-symmetric covering with excess $D$ for every $v \geqslant 5$ and every 2 -regular graph $D$ on $v$ vertices.
(It has block set $\{V \backslash\{x, y\}: x y \in E(D)\}$.)
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## What does $M M^{T}$ look like now?

If $M$ is the incidence matrix of a ( $11,4,1$ )-covering with excess [11],

$$
\boldsymbol{M}^{\top}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 \\
\lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 \\
\lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We call this matrix $X_{(11,4,1)}[11]$.

## What does $M M^{T}$ look like now?

If $M$ is the incidence matrix of a $(11,4,1)$-covering with excess $[7,4]$,

$$
\boldsymbol{M}^{\top}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda+1 \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We call this matrix $X_{(11,4,1)}[7,4]$.

## What does $M M^{T}$ look like now?

If $M$ is the incidence matrix of a $(11,4,1)$-covering with excess $[6,3,2]$,

$$
\boldsymbol{M}^{\boldsymbol{T}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda+1 & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+1 & \lambda+1 & k & \lambda & \lambda \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & k & \lambda+2 \\
\lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda+2 & k
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We call this matrix $X_{(11,4,1)}[6,3,2]$.

## Determinant results (with BBM\&S)
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## Determinant results (with BBM\&S)

Based around the observation that $\left|M M^{\top}\right|$ is square.
Lemma

$$
\left|X_{(v, k, \lambda)}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right]\right|=(k-\lambda+2)^{t-1}(k-\lambda-2)^{e} \quad \text { (up to a square), }
$$

where $e$ is the number of even $c_{i}$.

## Determinant results (with BBM\&S)

Based around the observation that $\left|M M^{\top}\right|$ is square.
Lemma

$$
\left|X_{(v, k, \lambda)}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right]\right|=(k-\lambda+2)^{t-1}(k-\lambda-2)^{e} \quad \text { (up to a square), }
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## Corollary

There does not exist a nondegenerate symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$-covering with a 2 -regular excess if $v$ is even and neither $k-\lambda-2$ nor $k-\lambda+2$ is square.

Can we say more (especially for odd $v$ )?
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where
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Based around the observation that $M M^{\top} \sim I$.

## Lemma

Rational, nondegenerate $n \times n$ matrices $X, Y$ are rationally congruent if and only if

$$
C_{p}(X)=C_{p}(Y) \quad \text { for all primes } p \text { and for } p=\infty,
$$

where

- a matrix is nondegenerate if all of its principal minors are invertible, and
- $C_{p}(X) \in\{-1,1\}$ is the Hasse-Minkowski invariant of $X$ with respect to $p$.
$C_{p}(X):=\left(-1,-\left|X_{n}\right|\right)_{p} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\left|X_{i}\right|,-\left|X_{i+1}\right|\right)_{p}, \quad$ where
- $X_{i}$ is the $i$ th principal minor of $X$
- $(\cdot, \cdot)_{p} \in\{-1,1\}$ is the Hilbert symbol with respect to $p$.
tl;dr
- If $C_{p}(X) \neq C_{p}(Y)$ for some $p$, then $X \nsim Y$.
- The hard part of computing $C_{p}(X)$ is taking a determinant of every principal minor of $X$.
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## Lemma

If a $(v, k, \lambda)$-covering with excess $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right]$ exists then, for all $p$,

$$
C_{p}\left(X_{(v, k, \lambda)}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right]\right)=C_{p}(I)= \begin{cases}-1, & \text { if } p \in\{2, \infty\} \\ +1, & \text { if } p \text { is an odd prime. }\end{cases}
$$

Computing $C_{p}\left(X_{(v, k, \lambda)}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right]\right)$ naively involves calculating the determinant of every leading principal minor of $X_{(v, k, \lambda)}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right]$.
We gave an expression for $C_{\rho}\left(X_{(v, k, \lambda)}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right]\right)$ in terms of Hilbert symbols of the first $v$ terms of a recursive sequence.

This let us get extensive computational results:

- We could not rule out the existence of symmetric coverings for any more entire parameter sets.
- We ruled out the existence of many more symmetric coverings with specified excesses.
- We ruled out the existence of cyclic symmetric coverings for some entire parameter sets.
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Example: $(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})=(11,4,1)$
Possible excess types:
[11],
[9,2], [8, 3], [7, 4], [6, 5],
[7,2, 2], $[6,3,2],[5,4,2],[5,3,3],[4,4,3]$,
[5, 2, 2, 2], [4, 3, 2, 2], [3, 3, 2, 2],
[5, 2, 2, 2, 2]
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## Computational rational congruence results

Example: $(v, k, \lambda)=(11,4,1)$
Possible excess types:
[11],
[9,2], [8, 3], [7,4], [6, 5],
[7,2, 2], [6, 3, 2], [5, 4, 2], [5, 3, 3], [4, 4, 3],
[5, 2, 2, 2], [4, 3, 2, 2], [3, 3, 2, 2],
[5, 2, 2, 2, 2]
ruled out by determinant arguments
ruled out by rational congruence arguments
It turns out [11] and [6, 3, 2] are realisable and [5,3,3] is not.

## Computational rational congruence results

For $\lambda=1$

## Computational rational congruence results

For $\lambda=1$
Then $v=k(k-1)-1$ is odd and again our determinant results say the excess must have an odd number of cycles.

## Computational rational congruence results

For $\lambda=1$
Then $v=k(k-1)-1$ is odd and again our determinant results say the excess must have an odd number of cycles.

| $(v, k, \lambda)$ | \# of excess <br> types | \# ruled out <br> by det results | \# ruled out by RC <br> results $\left(p<10^{3}\right)$ | \# which <br> may exist |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(11,4,1)$ | 14 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| $(19,5,1)$ | 105 | 52 | 43 | 10 |
| $(29,6,1)$ | 847 | 423 | 393 | 31 |
| $(41,7,1)$ | 7245 | 3621 | 3376 | 248 |
| $(55,8,1)$ | 65121 | 32555 | 30746 | 1820 |
| $(71,9,1)$ | 609237 | 304604 | 292475 | 12158 |

## Computational rational congruence results

- A cyclic symmetric covering is one obtained by applying a cyclic permutation to a single block.
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- A cyclic symmetric covering is one obtained by applying a cyclic permutation to a single block.
- A cyclic symmetric ( $v, k, \lambda$ )-covering with 2 -regular excess is equivalent to a ( $v, k, \lambda, v-3$ )-almost difference set.
- These must have excesses consisting of cycles of uniform length.
- Using $p<1000$ we can rule out cyclic symmetric coverings with the following parameter sets for $v<200$.

| $v$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | $v$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | $v$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | $v$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 153 | 18 | 2 | 111 | 32 | 9 | 95 | 49 | 25 | 199 | 98 | 48 |
| 37 | 11 | 3 | 157 | 38 | 9 | 53 | 38 | 27 | 199 | 101 | 51 |
| 169 | 23 | 3 | 63 | 30 | 14 | 81 | 47 | 27 | 137 | 87 | 55 |
| 23 | 10 | 4 | 81 | 34 | 14 | 123 | 60 | 29 | 111 | 79 | 56 |
| 53 | 15 | 4 | 63 | 33 | 17 | 123 | 63 | 32 | 117 | 86 | 63 |
| 27 | 12 | 5 | 37 | 26 | 18 | 135 | 66 | 32 | 157 | 119 | 90 |
| 23 | 13 | 7 | 121 | 47 | 18 | 135 | 69 | 35 | 199 | 134 | 90 |
| 161 | 34 | 7 | 137 | 50 | 18 | 171 | 84 | 41 | 161 | 127 | 100 |
| 27 | 15 | 8 | 199 | 65 | 21 | 171 | 87 | 44 | 153 | 135 | 119 |
| 117 | 31 | 8 | 95 | 46 | 22 | 121 | 74 | 45 | 169 | 146 | 126 |

## Computational rational congruence results

- A cyclic symmetric covering is one obtained by applying a cyclic permutation to a single block.
- A cyclic symmetric ( $v, k, \lambda$ )-covering with 2 -regular excess is equivalent to a ( $v, k, \lambda, v-3$ )-almost difference set.
- These must have excesses consisting of cycles of uniform length.
- Using $p<1000$ we can rule out cyclic symmetric coverings with the following parameter sets for $v<200$.

| $v$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | $v$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | $v$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ | $v$ | $k$ | $\lambda$ |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 153 | 18 | 2 | 111 | 32 | 9 | 95 | 49 | 25 | 199 | 98 | 48 |
| 37 | 11 | 3 | 157 | 38 | 9 | 53 | 38 | 27 | 199 | 101 | 51 |
| 169 | 23 | 3 | 63 | 30 | 14 | 81 | 47 | 27 | 137 | 87 | 55 |
| 23 | 10 | 4 | 81 | 34 | 14 | 123 | 60 | 29 | 111 | 79 | 56 |
| 53 | 15 | 4 | 63 | 33 | 17 | 123 | 63 | 32 | 117 | 86 | 63 |
| 27 | 12 | 5 | 37 | 26 | 18 | 135 | 66 | 32 | 157 | 119 | 90 |
| 23 | 13 | 7 | 121 | 47 | 18 | 135 | 69 | 35 | 199 | 134 | 90 |
| 161 | 34 | 7 | 137 | 50 | 18 | 171 | 84 | 41 | 161 | 127 | 100 |
| 27 | 15 | 8 | 199 | 65 | 21 | 171 | 87 | 44 | 153 | 135 | 119 |
| 117 | 31 | 8 | 95 | 46 | 22 | 121 | 74 | 45 | 169 | 146 | 126 |

- The red entries correspond to $\left(v, \frac{v-3}{2}, \frac{v-7}{4}, v-3\right)$-almost difference sets which can be used to produce sequences with desirable autocorrelation properties.


## Theoretical rational congruence results

## Theoretical rational congruence results

## Theorem

There does not exist a symmetric $\left(\frac{1}{2} p^{\alpha}\left(p^{\alpha}-1\right), p^{\alpha}, 2\right)$-covering with Hamilton cycle excess when $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ is prime, $\alpha$ is odd and $(p, \alpha) \neq(3,1)$.

## The end.



