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#### Abstract

In this short note we observe the existence of free, isometric actions of finite cyclic groups on a family of 2-connected 7-manifolds with non-negative sectional curvature. This yields many new examples including fake, and possible exotic, lens spaces.


## INTRODUCTION

Riemannian manfolds with positive or non-negative sectional curvature have been of great interest to geometers but there are not many examples nor many obstructions known. For non-negatively curved manifolds the most far-reaching structure theorem is that of Gromov bounding the total Betti number by a constant depending only on the dimension. Beyond that, given the dearth of examples and theorems, it is of interest to generate new methods and new examples of non-negatively curved manifolds.

In the recent paper [GKS1] we showed that there is a six parameter family $\mathscr{F}$ of 2-connected 7-manifolds each with the cohomology of an $\mathbf{S}^{3}$-bundle over $\mathbf{S}^{4}$ and admitting non-negative sectional curvature. The family $\mathscr{F}$ is a rich source of interesting new examples; it includes all homotopy 7 -spheres (each with infinitely many $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-invariant metrics) as well as infinitely many examples with non-standard linking form. The latter class represent the first known examples of 2 -connected 7 manifolds with non-negative curvature that are not even homotopy equivalent to $\mathbf{S}^{3}$-bundles over $\mathbf{S}^{4}$; see [GKS2]. The manifolds $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7} \in \mathscr{F}$ are each the total space of a Seifert fibration over an orbifold $\mathbf{S}^{4}$ with generic fiber $\mathbf{S}^{3}$. The parameters $\underline{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right), \underline{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ are each triples of integers satisfying $a_{i}, b_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ for all $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right)=1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{2} \pm b_{3}\right)=1$. Note that the subfamily corresponding to $a_{1}=b_{1}=1$ is precisely the one introduced by K. Grove and W. Ziller in [GZ], and consists of all $\mathbf{S}^{3}$-bundles over $\mathbf{S}^{4}$.

[^0]Main Theorem. There exists a free, isometric action of $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}$ on $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7} \in \mathscr{F}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\ell, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\ell, b_{2} \pm b_{3}\right)=1$ (which implies that $\ell$ is necessarily odd). In particular, there are infinitely many fake lens spaces in dimension 7 admitting non-negative sectional curvature (see Table 1).

Recall that a fake lens space is a manifold with finite, cyclic fundamental group and universal cover a homotopy sphere, while an exotic lens space is a fake lens space that is homeomorphic, but not diffeomorphic, to a lens space. It would be interesting to obtain a classification of these quotients up to diffeomorphism.
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## 1. $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}$ Actions on the family $\mathscr{F}$

Consider the family of 10-manifolds $P_{a, b}^{10}$ with a cohomogeneity-one action of $\mathbf{S}^{3} \times$ $\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}$ given by the group diagram

where $\Delta Q$ is the diagonal embedding of the group $Q=\{ \pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\} \subseteq \mathbf{S}^{3}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pin}(2)_{\underline{a}} & :=\left\{\left(e^{i a_{1} \theta}, e^{i a_{2} \theta}, e^{i a_{3} \theta}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\left(e^{i a_{1} \theta}, e^{i a_{2} \theta}, e^{i a_{3} \theta}\right) \cdot j\right\} \\
\operatorname{Pjn}(2)_{\underline{b}} & :=\left\{\left(e^{j b_{1} \theta}, e^{j b_{2} \theta}, e^{j b_{3} \theta}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{i \cdot\left(e^{j b_{1} \theta}, e^{j b_{2} \theta}, e^{j b_{3} \theta}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{2} \pm b_{3}\right)=1$ the subgroup $1 \times \Delta \mathbf{S}^{3} \subseteq \mathbf{S}^{3} \times$ $\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right)$ acts freely and isometrically with quotient $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7}$. The family $\mathscr{F}$ consists of all such spaces and each $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7} \in \mathscr{F}$ inherits a codimension-one singular Riemannian foliation by biquotients (or double coset manifolds) with regular leaf diffeomorphic

[^1]to the hypersurface $B_{0}:=\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right) / / \Delta Q$, and singular leaves of codimension two diffeomorphic to $B_{-}:=\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right) / / \operatorname{Pin}(2)_{\underline{\underline{~}}}$ and $B_{+}:=\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right) / / \operatorname{Pjn}(2)_{\underline{b}}$ respectively (both have the integral cohomology of $\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{R P}^{2}$ ). In each case, the free action of the group $U \in\left\{\Delta Q, \operatorname{Pin}(2)_{\underline{a}}, \operatorname{Pjn}(2)_{\underline{b}}\right\}$ on $\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}$ is described by
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
U \times\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right) & \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3} \\
\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right),\binom{q_{1}}{q_{2}}\right) & \mapsto\binom{q_{1} u_{1}^{-1}}{u_{2} q_{2} u_{3}^{-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

There is an obvious isometric action by $\mathbf{S}^{3}$ on the left of the first factor of $\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}$ which commutes with each $U$ action and induces an isometric (leaf-preserving) action of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ on each $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7} \in \mathscr{F}$. In particular, $-1 \in \mathbf{S}^{3}$ always acts trivially on $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7}$.

By the Slice Theorem, the quotient $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7}$ is the union of disk bundles over the two singular leaves glued along their common boundary, a regular leaf. Furthermore, as noted in the introduction, each $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7}$ is a cohomology $\mathbf{S}^{3}$-bundle over $\mathbf{S}^{4}$. In particular, $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7}$ is a 2-connected 7-manifold with $H^{4}\left(M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{n}$, where $n=$ $\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{1}^{2} & b_{1}^{2} \\ a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}^{2} & b_{2}^{2}-b_{3}^{2}\end{array}\right)$. Whenever $n \neq 0, M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7}$ is a rational homology sphere, while $n= \pm 1$ ensures that $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7}$ is a homotopy 7 -sphere. In particular, all exotic 7 -spheres show up in the family $\mathscr{F}$ [GKS1].

The Main Theorem is an immediate consequence of the following observation.
Theorem 1.1. $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell} \subseteq \mathrm{SO}(3)$ acts freely and isometrically on $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\ell, a_{2} \pm\right.$ $\left.a_{3}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\ell, b_{2} \pm b_{3}\right)=1$. In particular, $\ell$ is necessarily odd.

Proof. Consider the isometric (leaf-preserving) action of $\mathbf{Z}_{r}=\{w \in \mathrm{U}(1) \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ : $\left.w^{r}=1\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{S}^{3}$ (the $r$-th roots of unity) on $M_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}^{7}$ described on each biquotient leaf $\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right) / U, U \in\left\{\Delta Q, \operatorname{Pin}(2)_{\underline{a}}, \operatorname{Pjn}(2)_{\underline{b}}\right\}$, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Z}_{r} \times\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right) / U & \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right) / U \\
\left(w,\left[\begin{array}{l}
q_{1} \\
q_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right) & \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
w q_{1} \\
q_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As every $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell} \subseteq \mathrm{SO}(3)$ is, up to conjugation, covered by such a $\mathbf{Z}_{r} \subseteq \mathbf{S}^{3}$, the statement of the theorem is now equivalent to the claim that $\mathbf{Z}_{r}$ acts freely (resp. effectively freely) on $M_{a, b}^{7}$ if and only if $r=\ell$ (resp. $r=2 \ell$ ) for some $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$ satisfying $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\ell, a_{2} \pm\right.$ $\left.a_{3}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\ell, b_{2} \pm b_{3}\right)=1$. As $a_{2} \pm a_{3}$ and $b_{2} \pm b_{3}$ are all even, it is clear that $\ell$ must be odd.

Since $\Delta Q$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Pin}(2)_{\underline{a}}$ and $\operatorname{Pjn}(2)_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{b}}$, it suffices to check freeness of the action on the singular leaves, that is, for $U \in\left\{\operatorname{Pin}(2)_{\underline{a}}, \operatorname{Pjn}(2)_{\underline{b}}\right\}$. Moreover, the argument for freeness of the action on $B_{-}=\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right) / \operatorname{Pin}(2)_{\underline{g}}$ is completely analogous to the argument for $B_{+}=\left(\mathbf{S}^{3} \times \mathbf{S}^{3}\right) / \operatorname{Pjn}(2)_{\underline{b}}$ by viewing elements of $\mathbf{S}^{3}$ as being of the form $u+i v$, for $u, v \in \operatorname{span}\{1, j\}$, rather than the usual $u+v j$, for $u, v \in \mathbf{C}$ ). For this reason, freeness of the action will only be verified on $B_{-}$.

To this end, suppose that there is point in $B_{-}$with isotropy, that is, that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
w q_{1} \\
q_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
q_{1} \\
q_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some $w \in \mathbf{Z}_{r}$. Then there exists some $z \in \mathbf{U}(1) \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ and some $\lambda \in\{0,1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w q_{1}=q_{1} j^{-\lambda} \bar{z}^{a_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{2}=z^{a_{2}} j^{\lambda} q_{2} j^{-\lambda} \bar{z}^{a_{3}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The goal is now to show that the only possibility at every such point is $w=1$ (resp. $w \in\{ \pm 1\})$ precisely when $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right)=1\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right)=2\right)$. Clearly, the analogous conditions involving the parameters $\underline{b}$ arise when considering the singular leaf $B_{+}$.

If $\lambda=0$, then (1.1) implies that $w q_{1}=q_{1} \bar{z}^{a_{1}}$ and $q_{2}=z^{a_{2}} q_{2} \bar{z}^{a_{3}}$. Writing $q_{2}=$ $u_{2}+v_{2} j$, with $u_{2}, v_{2} \in \mathbf{C}$, and using the commutation relations for the quaternions yields

$$
q_{2}=z^{a_{2}} q_{2} \bar{z}^{a_{3}} \Longleftrightarrow u_{2}+v_{2} j=z^{a_{2}-a_{3}} u_{2}+z^{a_{2}+a_{3}} v_{2} j .
$$

Since $\left|q_{2}\right|=1$, this forces either $z^{a_{2}-a_{3}}=1$ or $z^{a_{2}+a_{3}}=1$.
On the other hand, $w q_{1}=q_{1} \bar{z}^{a_{1}} \Longleftrightarrow w=q_{1} \bar{z}^{a_{1}} \bar{q}_{1}$. Therefore,

$$
1=w^{r}=\left(q_{1} \bar{z}^{a_{1}} \bar{q}_{1}\right)^{r}=q_{1} \bar{z}^{r a_{1}} \bar{q}_{1} .
$$

Conjugating both sides by $\bar{q}_{1}$, it follows that $\bar{z}^{r a_{1}}=1$.
Setting $d_{ \pm}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r a_{1}, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right)$, these identities together yield $z^{d_{ \pm}}=1$. Moreover, since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right)=1$, it follows that $d_{ \pm}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right)$. In particular, it is clear that $d_{ \pm}=1$ implies $z=1$ and, hence, $w=1$, since $w=q_{1} \bar{z}^{a_{1}} \bar{q}_{1}$. Similarly, if $d_{ \pm}=2$, then $z \in\{ \pm 1\}$ and, hence, $w \in\{ \pm 1\}$.

If, on the other hand, $d_{ \pm}>2$, then the $\mathbf{Z}_{r}$ action cannot even be effectively free. Indeed, choose $z \in \mathrm{U}(1)$ such that $z^{d_{ \pm}}=1$ and $z \neq \pm 1$. Since $r$ is divisible by $d_{ \pm}$ by definition, it follows that $z^{r}=\left(z^{d_{ \pm}}\right)^{r / d_{ \pm}}=1$ and, therefore, $z, z^{a_{1}} \in \mathbf{Z}_{r}$. Notice, however, that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right)=1$ implies $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, d_{ \pm}\right)=1$ and, thus, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2 a_{1}, d_{ \pm}\right)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2, d_{ \pm}\right)$. This ensures that $z^{a_{1}} \neq \pm 1$ since, otherwise, the identities $z^{2 a_{1}}=1$ and $z^{d_{ \pm}}=1$ would imply that $z^{\operatorname{gcd}\left(2, d_{ \pm}\right)}=1$ and, hence, that $z \in\{ \pm 1\}$, a contradiction.

Now, setting $w=z^{a_{1}} \in \mathbf{Z}_{r} \backslash\{ \pm 1\}$ yields, for example,

$$
w \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
z^{a_{1}} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
z^{a_{1}} \bar{z}^{a_{1}} \\
\bar{z}^{a_{2}-a_{3}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\bar{z}^{a_{2}-a_{3}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\left(\bar{z}^{d_{ \pm}}\right)^{\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right) / d_{ \pm}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Suppose, finally, that $\lambda=1$. In this case, (1.1) yields the equalities $w q_{1}=q_{1} \bar{j} \bar{z}^{a_{1}}$ and $q_{2}=z^{a_{2}} j q_{2} \bar{j} \bar{z}^{a_{3}}$. The first equality can be rewritten as $w=-q_{1} z^{a_{1}} j \bar{q}_{1}$, which implies that $z^{a_{1}} j=-\bar{q}_{1} w q_{1}$. Notice that $z^{a_{1}} j \in \operatorname{span}\{j, k\}$, which implies that $\operatorname{Re}(w)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{q}_{1} w q_{1}\right)=0$ and, therefore, that $w \in\{ \pm i\} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{r}$. However, $\pm i \in \mathbf{Z}_{r}$ if and only if $r \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, which is impossible if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, a_{2} \pm a_{3}\right), \operatorname{gcd}\left(r, b_{2} \pm b_{3}\right) \in\{1,2\}$.

Example 1.2. Actions on homotopy 7-spheres: To illustrate some specific examples we exhibit parameters along with values of $\ell$ for which there are fake lens spaces with non-negative sectional curvature. Note that in the case when the universal cover is not the standard 7-sphere, the manifold cannot be diffeomorphic to a lens space. Therefore, computing differential invariants for these manifolds could yield infinitely many examples of exotic lens spaces with non-negative sectional curvature. We exhibit a few examples of actions on some homotopy spheres (which are determined up to oriented diffeomorphism by the Eells-Kuiper invariant $\mu$, [EK]). Note that the last example is a non-Milnor sphere i.e., a homotopy 7-sphere that is not diffeomorphic to an $\mathbf{S}^{3}$-bundle over $\mathbf{S}^{4}$.

| $\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | $\left(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | $\mu(\mathbf{a}, \underline{\mathbf{b}})$ | free, iometric $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}$ action |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $(5,-3,1)$ | $(-7,5,-3)$ | $27 / 28$ | all odd $\ell$ |
| $(985,-3,1)$ | $(1393,5,-3)$ | $6 / 28$ | all odd $\ell$ |
| $(29,-3,1)$ | $(41,5,-3)$ | $20 / 28$ | all odd $\ell$ |
| $(17,-47,33)$ | $(-15,-219,217)$ | $5 / 28$ | $\operatorname{gcd}(\ell, 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 109)=1$ |

Table 1. Free, isometric $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}$ actions on homotopy 7-spheres
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