

Annotated slides.

## §1.2: The secant method

### Solving nonlinear equations

MA385/530 – Numerical Analysis



Assignment 1 Deadline: 3pm, 5<sup>th</sup> Oct.

September 2017

**These slides are an abbreviated version of the notes; see Section 1.2 of**  
*<http://www.maths.nuigalway.ie/~niall/MA385/MA385.pdf>*

## Idea:

- Choose two points,  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ .
- Take  $x_2$  to be the zero of the line joining  $(x_0, f(x_0))$  to  $(x_1, f(x_1))$ .
- Take  $x_3$  to be the zero of the line joining  $(x_1, f(x_1))$  to  $(x_2, f(x_2))$ .
- Etc.



**Method (Secant)**

Choose  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  so that there is a solution in  $[x_0, x_1]$ . Then define

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - f(x_k) \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}. \quad (1)$$

Exer: derive this formula (Homework Exercise 1.5).

Finished here 14/9/17.

## Example

Use the Secant Method to solve  $x^2 - 2 = 0$  in  $[0, 2]$ .

The results are shown below. By comparing with the table of errors for the Bisection method, we see that for this example, the Secant method is *much* more efficient than Bisection. We'll return to why this is later.

	Secant		Bisection	
$k$	$x_k$	$ x_k - \tau $	$x_k$	$ x_k - \tau $
0	0.000000	1.41	0.000000	1.41
1	2.000000	5.86e-01	2.000000	5.86e-01
2	1.000000	4.14e-01	1.000000	4.14e-01
3	1.333333	8.09e-02	1.500000	8.58e-02
4	1.428571	1.44e-02	1.250000	1.64e-01
5	1.413793	4.20e-04	1.375000	3.92e-02
6	1.414211	2.12e-06	1.437500	2.33e-02
7	1.414214	3.16e-10	1.406250	7.96e-03
8	1.414214	4.44e-16	1.421875	7.66e-03

Machine  
precision

# Secant Method

"worst case scenario" (5/11)

To compare different methods, we need the following concept:

## Definition (Linear Convergence)

Suppose that  $\tau = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$ . Then we say that the sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  converges to  $\tau$  **at least linearly** if there is a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ , and  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ , such that

$\mu = 0$  not interesting.  
no error.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0,$$

$\mu \geq 1$  would  
mean non-  
decreasing error.

and

$$|\tau - x_k| \leq \varepsilon_k \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2b)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_k} = \mu. \quad (2c)$$

So, for example, the bisection method converges at least linearly, with  $\mu = 1/2$ .

*secant method*

As we have seen, ~~there are methods that converge~~ more quickly than bisection. We state this more precisely:

## Definition (Order of Convergence)

Let  $\tau = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$ . Suppose there exists  $\mu > 0$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  such (2a) and (2b) both hold. Then we say that the sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  converges with at least order  $q$  if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{(\varepsilon_k)^q} = \mu.$$

$$|\tau - x_k| \leq \varepsilon_k.$$

Two particular values of  $q$  are important to us:

- (i) If  $q = 1$ , and we further have that  $0 < \mu < 1$ , then the rate is *linear*.
- (ii) If  $q = 2$ , the rate is *quadratic* for any  $\mu > 0$ .

*Eg Newton's method.*

*As we'll see,  
secant is between  
1 & 2*

**Theorem**

Suppose that  $f$  and  $f'$  are real-valued functions, continuous and defined in an interval  $I = [\tau - h, \tau + h]$  for some  $h > 0$ . If  $f(\tau) = 0$  and  $f'(\tau) \neq 0$ , then the sequence (1) converges at least linearly to  $\tau$ .

Actually, Secant converges with a faster rate,  
 $q = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \approx 1.618$ .  
 $\uparrow$   
Golden Ratio

- We wish to show that  $|\tau - x_{k+1}| < |\tau - x_k|$ .
- From the (MVT), there is a point  $w_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$  s.t.

$$\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}} = f'(w_k). \quad (3)$$

- Also by the MVT, there is a point  $z_k \in [x_k, \tau]$  such that

$$\frac{f(x_k) - f(\tau)}{x_k - \tau} \stackrel{=0}{=} \frac{f(x_k)}{x_k - \tau} = f'(z_k). \quad (4)$$

Therefore  $f(x_k) = (x_k - \tau)f'(z_k)$ .

- Using (3) and (4), we can show that

$$\tau - x_{k+1} = (\tau - x_k) \left( 1 - f'(z_k)/f'(w_k) \right).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|\tau - x_{k+1}|}{|\tau - x_k|} \leq \left| 1 - \frac{f'(z_k)}{f'(w_k)} \right|.$$

need to show  
this is  
less than 1.



- Suppose that  $f'(\tau) > 0$ . (If  $f'(\tau) < 0$  just tweak the arguments accordingly). Saying that  $f'$  is *continuous in the region*  $[\tau - h, \tau + h]$  means that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f'(x) - f'(\tau)| < \varepsilon \text{ for any } x \in [\tau - \delta, \tau + \delta].$$

Take  $\varepsilon = f'(\tau)/4$ . Then  $|f'(x) - f'(\tau)| < f'(\tau)/4$ . Thus

$$\frac{3}{4}f'(\tau) \leq f'(x) \leq \frac{5}{4}f'(\tau) \quad \text{for any } x \in [\tau - \delta, \tau + \delta].$$

Then, so long as  $w_k$  and  $z_k$  are both in  $[\tau - \delta, \tau + \delta]$

$$\frac{f'(z_k)}{f'(w_k)} \leq \frac{5}{3}.$$

Given enough time and effort we *could* show that the Secant Method converges faster than linearly. In particular, that the order of convergence is

$$q = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \approx 1.618.$$

This number arises as the only positive root of  $q^2 - q - 1$ . It is called the **Golden Mean**, and arises in many areas of Mathematics, including finding an explicit expression for the Fibonacci Sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}f_0 &= 1, \\f_1 &= 1, \\f_{k+1} &= f_k + f_{k-1} \text{ for } k = 2, 3, \dots\end{aligned}$$

That gives,  $f_0 = 1, f_1 = 1, f_2 = 2, f_3 = 3, f_4 = 5, f_5 = 8, f_6 = 13, \dots$

This slide was not covered in class : read yourself.

The connection here is that it turns out that  $\varepsilon_{k+1} \leq C\varepsilon_k\varepsilon_{k-1}$ .

Repeatedly using this we get:

- Let  $r = |x_1 - x_0|$  so that  $\varepsilon_0 \leq r$  and  $\varepsilon_1 \leq r$ ,
- Then  $\varepsilon_2 \leq C\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_0 \leq Cr^2$
- Then  $\varepsilon_3 \leq C\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_1 \leq C(Cr^2)r = C^2r^3$ .
- Then  $\varepsilon_4 \leq C\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_2 \leq C(C^2r^3)(Cr^2) = C^4r^5$ .
- Then  $\varepsilon_5 \leq C\varepsilon_4\varepsilon_3 \leq C(C^4r^5)(C^2r^3) = C^7r^8$ .
- And in general,  $\varepsilon_k = C^{f_k-1}r^{f_k}$ .

This slide was not covered in class: read yourself.