

Tuesday, 25<sup>th</sup> Sep 2012

- 1 Recall...
- 2 A logical argument?
- 3 Semantic Tableau
- 4 Building the tableau
- 5 Tableau for collections of propositions

## A logical argument?

Suppose the following:

- $A_1$ . Ann or Bob have a lecture at 9am on Monday.
- $A_2$ . If Bob has a lecture at 9, so too does Cathal.
- $A_3$ . Cathal does *not* have a lecture at 9.

Can we conclude that Ann has a lecture at 9?

## Recall...

Over the past two weeks we introduced some terminology:

- A proposition  $A$  is *satisfiable* if there is some interpretation  $v$  such that  $v(A) = T$ . We call  $v$  a *model* for  $A$ .
- If a proposition is *not* satisfiable, it is a *contradiction*.
- A proposition  $A$  is *valid* (or is a tautology) if, for all interpretations  $v$ ,  $v(A) = T$ . We write this as:  $\models A$ .
- If there is some  $v$  such that  $v(A) = F$ , then we say that  $A$  is *not valid* (or “falsifiable”), and we write  $\not\models A$ .
- A set of formulas  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  is (*simultaneously*) *satisfiable*, or “consistent as a collection” if there is some (particular) assignment  $v$  such that  $v(A_1) = v(A_2) = \dots = v(A_n) = T$ . We say  $v$  is a model for the set.
- Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  be a set of propositions, and  $C$  be a proposition. If  $C$  is true for every model of  $\mathcal{V}$ , then  $C$  is a *logical consequence* of  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ . We write  $\mathcal{V} \models C$ .
- $\mathcal{V} \models C$  if and only if  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \neg C\}$  is inconsistent.

## Semantic Tableau

In general, writing out a full truth table for all formulae in a set is too time-consuming. “*Semantic tableau*” is a faster method to check for consistency.

First recall what a *literal* is:

A **literal** is an atom (i.e., a Boolean variable) or its negation. We call these *positive literal* and *negative literal* respectively.  
 For a literal  $p$ , the pair  $\{p, \neg p\}$  is a *complimentary pair of literals*.  
 For the proposition/formula  $A$ , the pair  $\{A, \neg A\}$  is a *complimentary pair of formulas*.

Next we use that every 2-place Boolean operator is either

- A **conjunction**, meaning it is true only if *both* atoms have a particular value. There are sometimes called  $\alpha$ -formulas.
- A **disjunction**, meaning it is true if *either* atoms have a particular value. There are sometimes called  $\beta$ -formulas.

**Examples:**

## Semantic Tableau

This also applies 2-place operators to (compound) formulas:

- The **conjunction** of two formulas is satisfiable only if **both** are satisfiable.
- A **disjunction** of two formulas is satisfiable if *either* are satisfiable.

**Examples:**

## Building the tableau

We can now construct a tableau for testing if a set of formulas is consistent as a collection:

- List each of the formulas as the root of a tree;
- Take each in turn,
  - if it is an disjunction (of literals or formulas) expand as 2 branches, one for each of its arguments.
  - if it is an conjunction (of literals or formulas) expand as a single branch for each of its arguments.

Sometimes called *“derived” rules*:

## Building the tableau

- If at any stage, a branch contains a complementary pair, we “close” that branch.
- Continue until all branches are closed or all formulas are expanded to literals.
- If any branch remains open, then the original set is consistent as a collection, and the tableau provides a model.

**Example:** For each of the following propositions, write out the tableau and either find a model, or show that it is not valid.

1.  $\neg p \wedge p$
2.  $\neg q \vee q$
3.  $p \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg p)$ . (← Source: Ben-Ari, Section 2.6)
4.  $a \wedge \neg((b \wedge c) \rightarrow a)$  (← Source: Burris, Exer 2.2.2)
5.  $(a \vee (b \rightarrow (c \wedge d))) \vee (a \rightarrow b)$ . (← Source: Burris, Exer 2.2.2)

## Tableau for collections of propositions

Suppose that we wish to check if the collection  $\{A_1, A_2\}$  is consistent. That is equivalent to showing that  $A_1 \wedge A_2$  is valid. Therefore, we can apply the tableau method to establishing the consistency of collections.

**Example 1:** Is the set  $\{p \wedge \neg q, p \rightarrow q\}$  consistent?

**Example 2:** Is the set  $\{a \oplus b, b \rightarrow c, a \wedge \neg c\}$  consistent? If so, give a model for the set.