

## 29 Non-stationary methods

### 29.1 Motivation, via preconditioners

In recent lectures, we studied the Gauss-Seidel and Jacobi (and, in an exercises, SOR) methods for solving linear systems of the form

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}.$$

We call a matrix  $M$  a *preconditioner* for  $A$  if  $M^{-1}A \approx I$ . Then, instead of solving  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , we solve

$$M^{-1}A\mathbf{x} = M^{-1}\mathbf{b}.$$

If indeed  $M^{-1}A \approx I$ , this should be a relatively easy task. For the Jacobi method, we took  $M = \text{diag}(A)$ , and then we iterated as

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = M^{-1}(M - A)\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + M^{-1}\mathbf{b}.$$

This can be rearranged as

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + M^{-1}(\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}^{(k)}). \quad (29.1)$$

Several observations come from this

- (a) Recall that the *residual* is  $\mathbf{r}^{(k)} = \mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ . So we can write (29.1) as

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + M^{-1}\mathbf{r}^{(k)}. \quad (29.2)$$

- (b) Suppose that, in fact,  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}$ . Since the iteration should always give that  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\|$ , then we need  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ . This is achieved when  $\|\mathbf{r}^{(k)}\| = 0$ . Since this is not achievable (realistically), when instead want to minimise  $\|M^{-1}\mathbf{r}^{(k)}\|$ .
- (c) Different methods give different choices of  $M$ . Why not allow the freedom for this value to change at each iteration, in order to maximise the error reduction at each step?

The topic of choosing a preconditioner,  $M$ , is a deep one, and not really part of this course (it will be part of the follow-on workshop in May). But here we use it as a motivation.

### 29.2 Orthomin(1)

See [Gre97, Chap. 2]. As a variation on (29.1), let's consider methods of the form

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k(\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}^{(k)}), \quad (29.3)$$

and where the goal is to choose the scalar  $\alpha_k$  in the best way possible. As discussed above, this could be by trying to minimise the 2-norm of the residual,  $\mathbf{r}^{(k+1)}$ . With a little work (take notes!) this can be done by picking

$$\alpha_k = \frac{(\mathbf{r}^{(k)}, A\mathbf{r}^{(k)})}{(A\mathbf{r}^{(k)}, A\mathbf{r}^{(k)})}. \quad (29.4)$$

This method is known (among other things) as *Orthomin(1)*.

### 29.3 Steepest Descent

Suppose that  $A$  is s.p.d. Then  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_A := \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}}$  is a norm. We could choose  $\alpha_k$  in (29.3) to minimise  $\mathbf{e}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$  in this norm. This leads to

$$\alpha_k = \frac{(\mathbf{r}^{(k)}, \mathbf{r}^{(k)})}{(\mathbf{r}^{(k)}, A\mathbf{r}^{(k)})}, \quad (29.5)$$

which is called the method of *steepest descent*. See Exercise 29.1

### 29.4 Exercises

**Exercise 29.1.** Suppose that we want to choose  $\alpha_k$  in (29.3) to minimise  $\|\mathbf{e}^{(k+1)}\|_A$ . Recalling that  $\mathbf{e}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{e}^{(k)} - \alpha_k \mathbf{r}^{(k)}$ , show that this leads to the formula in (29.5). *Hint: see [Gre97, §1.3.2].*