

Automorphisms of Hadamard matrices and skew difference sets

Padraig Ó Catháin

National University of Ireland, Galway

Algebraic Combinatorics: in memory of Bob Liebler
Fort Collins, 4 November 2011

Outline

- 1 Designs, difference sets and Hadamard matrices
- 2 Cocyclic development
- 3 Doubly transitive group actions on Hadamard matrices

Designs

Definition

Let (V, B) be an incidence structure in which $|V| = v$ and $|b| = k$ for all $b \in B$. Then $\Delta = (V, B)$ is a t - (v, k, λ) *design* if and only if any t -subset of V occurs in exactly λ blocks.

Definition

The design Δ is *symmetric* if $|V| = |B|$.

Definition

An **automorphism** of Δ is a permutation $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(V)$ which preserves B setwise.

Definition

Define a function $\phi : V \times B \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ given by $\phi(v, b) = 1$ if and only if $v \in b$. An **incidence matrix** for Δ is a matrix

$$M = [\phi(v, b)]_{v \in V, b \in B}.$$

An automorphism σ of Δ induces permutations of the rows and columns of M , represented as a pair of permutation matrices (P, Q) such that $PMQ^T = M$.

Difference sets

- Let G be a group of order v , and \mathcal{D} a k -subset of G .
- Suppose that every non-identity element of G has λ representations of the form $d_i d_j^{-1}$ where $d_i, d_j \in \mathcal{D}$.
- Then \mathcal{D} is a (v, k, λ) -difference set in G .
- We say \mathcal{D} is *skew* if $G = \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{D}^{(-1)} \cup \{1_G\}$.

Theorem

If G contains a (v, k, λ) -difference set then there exists a symmetric 2 - (v, k, λ) design on which G acts regularly. Conversely, a 2 - (v, k, λ) design on which G acts regularly corresponds to a (v, k, λ) -difference set in G .

Hadamard matrices

Definition

Let H be a matrix of order n , with all entries in $\{1, -1\}$. Then H is a *Hadamard matrix* if and only if $HH^T = nI_n$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Hadamard conjecture: does there exist a Hadamard matrix of order $4t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$?

Automorphisms of Hadamard matrices

- A pair of $\{\pm 1\}$ monomial matrices (P, Q) is an *automorphism* of H if $PHQ^T = H$.
- $\text{Aut}(H)$ has an induced permutation action on the set $\{r\} \cup \{-r\}$.
- Quotient by diagonal matrices is a permutation group with an induced action on the set of pairs $\{r, -r\}$, which we identify with the rows of H , denoted \mathcal{A}_H .

Hadamard matrices and 2-designs

Lemma

There exists a Hadamard matrix H of order $4t$ if and only there exists a $2-(4t - 1, 2t - 1, t - 1)$ design \mathcal{D} . Furthermore $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) < \mathcal{A}_H$.

Corollary

Suppose that H is developed from a $(4t - 1, 2t - 1, t - 1)$ -difference set. Then the stabiliser of the first row of H in \mathcal{A}_H , is transitive on the remaining rows of H .

Group development

Definition

An $n \times n$ R -matrix, M , is group developed over G , a group of order n , if and only if there exists a set map $\phi : G \rightarrow R$ such that

$$M \approx [\phi(gh)]_{g,h \in G}$$

Lemma

M is group developed over G if and only if $\text{Aut}(M)$ contains a subgroup of pairs of permutation matrices isomorphic to G , which acts regularly on the rows and regularly on the columns of M .

Lemma

Suppose that H is a $4t \times 4t$ Hadamard matrix with constant row sums. Then t is a perfect square.

Corollary

A group developed Hadamard matrix has square order.

What about regular subgroups of \mathcal{A}_H ?

Cocyclic development

Definition

Let G be a group and C an abelian group. We say that $\psi : G \times G \rightarrow C$ is a *cocycle* if

$$\psi(g, h)\psi(gh, k) = \psi(h, k)\psi(g, hk)$$

for all $g, h, k \in G$.

Definition

Let H be an $n \times n$ Hadamard matrix. Let G be a group of order n . We say that H is cocyclic if there exists a cocycle $\psi : G \times G \rightarrow \langle -1 \rangle$ such that

$$H \cong [\psi(g, h)]_{g, h \in G}.$$

Classification of cocyclic Hadamard matrices

Theorem (De Launey, Flannery & Horadam)

The following statements are equivalent.

- *There is a cocyclic Hadamard matrix over G .*
- *There is a normal $(4t, 2, 4t, 2t)$ -relative difference set in a central extension of $N \cong C_2$ by G , relative to N .*
- *There is a divisible $(4t, 2, 4t, 2t)$ design, class regular with respect to $C_2 \cong \langle -1 \rangle$, and with a central extension of $\langle -1 \rangle$ by G as a regular group of automorphisms.*

In particular: if H is cocyclic then \mathcal{A}_H is transitive.

Definition

Let G be a finite group of order mn , with normal subgroup N of order n . We say that $R \subset G$ is a relative difference set (RDS) with respect to N if in the multiset of elements $\{r_1 r_2^{-1} \mid r_1, r_2 \in R\}$ every element of $G - N$ occurs exactly λ times, and no non-trivial element of N occurs.

If $|R| = k$ we speak of a (m, n, k, λ) -RDS.

Theorem

A classification of $(4t, 2, 4t, 2t)$ -RDSs in the groups of order $8t$ yields a classification of cocyclic Hadamard matrices of order $4t$.

With Marc Röder: The cocyclic Hadamard matrices of order less than 40, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 2011.

Table of results

Order	Cocyclic	Indexing Groups	Extension Groups
2	1	1	2
4	1	2	3 / 5
8	1	3 / 5	9 / 14
12	1	3 / 5	3 / 15
16	5	13 / 14	45 / 51
20	3	2 / 5	3 / 14
24	16 / 60	8 / 15	14 / 52
28	6 / 487	2 / 4	2 / 13
32	$100 / \geq 3 \times 10^6$	49/51	261/267
36	$35 / \geq 3 \times 10^6$	12 / 14	21 / 50

Comprehensive data available at: www.maths.nuigalway.ie/~padraig

We can compare the proportion of cocyclic Hadamard matrices (of order n) among all $\{\pm 1\}$ -cocyclic matrices to the proportion of Hadamard matrices among $\{\pm 1\}$ -matrices:

n	Hadamard matrices	Cocyclic Hadamard matrices
2	0.25	0.25
4	7×10^{-4}	0.125
8	1.3×10^{-13}	7.8×10^{-3}
12	2.5×10^{-30}	1.4×10^{-4}
16	1.1×10^{-53}	1.7×10^{-4}
20	1.0×10^{-85}	1.1×10^{-6}
24	1.2×10^{-124}	1.8×10^{-7}
28	1.3×10^{-173}	1.0×10^{-8}

Doubly transitive group actions on Hadamard matrices

Two constructions of Hadamard matrices: from $(4n - 1, 2n - 1, n - 1)$ difference sets, and from $(4n, 2, 4n, 2n)$ -RDSs.

Problem

- *How do these constructions interact?*
- *Can a Hadamard matrix support both structures?*
- *If so, can we classify such matrices?*

Motivation

- Horadam: Are the Hadamard matrices developed from twin prime power difference sets cocyclic? (Problem 39 of *Hadamard matrices and their applications*)
- Jungnickel: Classify the skew Hadamard difference sets. (Open Problem 13 of the survey *Difference sets*).
- Ito and Leon: There exists a Hadamard matrix of order 36 on which $Sp_6(2)$ acts. Are there others?

Doubly transitive group actions on Hadamard matrices

Lemma

Let H be a Hadamard matrix developed from a $(4n - 1, 2n - 1, n - 1)$ -difference set, \mathcal{D} in the group G . Then the stabiliser of the first row of H in \mathcal{A}_H contains a regular subgroup isomorphic to G .

Lemma

Suppose that H is a cocyclic Hadamard matrix with cocycle $\psi : G \times G \rightarrow \langle -1 \rangle$. Then \mathcal{A}_H contains a regular subgroup isomorphic to G .

Corollary

If H is a cocyclic Hadamard matrix which is also developed from a difference set, then \mathcal{A}_H is a doubly transitive permutation group.

The groups

Theorem (Ito, 1979)

Let $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{A}_H$ be a non-affine doubly transitive permutation group acting on the set of rows of a Hadamard matrix H . Then the action of Γ is one of the following.

- $\Gamma \cong M_{12}$ acting on 12 points.
- $PSL_2(p^k) \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ acting naturally on $p^k + 1$ points, for $p^k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $p^k \neq 3, 11$.
- $\Gamma \cong Sp_6(2)$, and H is of order 36.

The matrices

Theorem

Each of Ito's doubly transitive groups is the automorphism group of exactly one equivalence class of Hadamard matrices.

Proof.

- If H is of order 12 then $\mathcal{A}_H \cong M_{12}$. (Hall)
- If $PSL_2(q) \trianglelefteq \mathcal{A}_H$, then H is the Paley matrix of order $q + 1$.
- $Sp_6(2)$ acts on a unique matrix of order 36. (Computation)



Corollary

Twin prime power Hadamard matrices are not cocyclic.

With Dick Stafford: On twin prime power Hadamard matrices, *Cryptography and Communications*, 2011.

Classifying difference sets

Suppose that H is developed from a difference set \mathcal{D} and that \mathcal{A}_H is non-affine doubly transitive. Then H is a Paley matrix.

Theorem (Kantor)

Let H be the Paley Hadamard matrix of order $q + 1$. Then $\mathcal{A}_H \cong P\Sigma L_2(q)$.

- A point stabiliser is of index 2 in $A\Gamma L_1(q)$.
- Difference sets correspond to regular subgroups of the stabiliser of a point in \mathcal{A}_H .

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq G$ be a difference set such that the associated Hadamard matrix H has \mathcal{A}_H non-affine doubly transitive. Then G is a regular subgroup of $A\Gamma L_1(q)$ in its natural action.

Suppose that $q = p^{kp^\alpha}$. A Sylow p -subgroup of $A\Gamma L_1(q)$ is

$$G_{p,k,\alpha} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n, b \mid a_i^p = 1, [a_i, a_j] = 1, b^{p^\alpha} = 1, a_i^b = a_{i+k} \rangle.$$

Lemma

*There are $\alpha + 1$ conjugacy classes of regular subgroups of $A\Gamma L_1(q)$.
The subgroups*

$$R_e = \langle a_1 b^{p^e}, a_2 b^{p^e}, \dots, a_n b^{p^e} \rangle$$

for $0 \leq e \leq \alpha$ are a complete and irredundant list of representatives.

Skew difference sets

Definition

Let D be a difference set in G . Then D is *skew* if $G = D \cup D^{(-1)} \cup \{1_G\}$.

- The Paley difference sets are skew.
- Conjecture (1930's): D is skew if and only if D is a Paley difference set.
- Proved in the cyclic case (1950s - Kelly).
- Exponent bounds obtained in the general abelian case.
- Disproved using permutation polynomials, examples in \mathbb{F}_{35} and \mathbb{F}_{37} (2005 - Ding, Yuan).
- Infinite families found in groups of order q^3 and 3^n . (2008-2011 - Muzychuk, Weng, Qiu, Wang, Xiang, ...).

Lemma

Let G be a group containing a difference set \mathcal{D} , and let M be an incidence matrix of the underlying 2-design. Set $M^* = 2M - J$. That is,

$$M^* = [\chi(g_i g_j^{-1})]_{g_i, g_j \in G}$$

where the ordering of the elements of G used to index rows and columns is the same, and where $\chi(g) = 1$ if $g \in \mathcal{D}$ and -1 otherwise. Then $M^* + I$ is skew-symmetric if and only if \mathcal{D} is skew Hadamard.

- The Paley difference sets are skew.
- So the underlying 2-design \mathcal{D} is skew.
- So any difference set associated to \mathcal{D} is skew.

Theorem (Ó C., 2011)

Let p be a prime, and $n = kp^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

- Define

$$G_{p,k,\alpha} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n, b \mid a_i^p = 1, [a_i, a_j] = 1, b^{p^\alpha} = 1, a_i^b = a_{i+k} \rangle.$$

- The subgroups

$$R_e = \langle a_1 b^{p^e}, a_2 b^{p^e}, \dots, a_n b^{p^e} \rangle$$

for $0 \leq e \leq \alpha$ contain skew Hadamard difference sets.

- Each difference set gives rise to a Paley Hadamard matrix.
- These are the only skew difference sets which give rise to Hadamard matrices in which \mathcal{A}_H is transitive.
- If \mathcal{A}_H is transitive and H is developed from a difference set \mathcal{D} , then \mathcal{D} is one of the difference sets described above.