

Mathematical and **Logical** Aspects of Computing (CS304/CS310)

Copyright Dr. Niall Madden, <http://www.maths.nuigalway.ie/CS304>

Lecture 13: Natural Deduction

Tuesday, the 22nd of October 2013

(2/11) Natural Deduction

In the previous, we introduced the notion of *Deductive systems* which formalise mathematical reasoning. It involves:

- A collection of rules: eleven in the system we'll study,
- Careful proofs of certain propositions that follow from these rules.

In this class, we largely follow the presentation in Kelly “Essence of Logic”.

.....
Rule 1: \wedge -introduction (“ \wedge -I”)

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B}.$$

Example:

(3/11) Natural Deduction

Rule 2: \wedge -elimination (“ \wedge -E”)

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{A}.$$

Example:

Rule 3: \wedge -elimination (“ \wedge -E”)

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B}.$$

Rule 4: \vee -introduction (“ \vee -I”)

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B}.$$

Example:

Rule 5: \vee -introduction; (“ \vee -I”)

$$\frac{B}{A \vee B}.$$

(4/11) Natural Deduction

Rule 6: \vee -elimination (“ \vee -E”)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & B \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ A \vee B & C & C \\ \hline & C \end{array}$$

Example:

Rule 7: \rightarrow -introduction (“ \rightarrow -I”)

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \vdots \\ C \\ \hline A \rightarrow C \end{array}$$

Example:

Rule 8: \rightarrow -elimination (“ \rightarrow -E”; “modus ponens”)

$$\begin{array}{cc} A & A \rightarrow C \\ \hline & C \end{array}$$

(5/11) Natural Deduction

Rule 9: (falsum)

$$\frac{\perp}{C}$$

Rule 10: RAA (Reductio Ad Absurdum)

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \neg A \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{A}$$

Rule 11: Id
(Identity)

$$\frac{A}{A}$$

Example:

(6/11) Natural Deduction

Equipped with these rules, we can now deduce some theorems. They have the form

$$\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\} \vdash C,$$

meaning that the conclusion C can be derived from the assumptions A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n . By $\vdash C$ we mean that C can be derived without any assumptions

Theorem

$$\{A, B\} \vdash B \wedge A.$$

(7/11) Natural Deduction

Our next example is very closely related to the above one, but does not require any assumptions:

Theorem

$$\vdash (A \wedge B) \rightarrow (B \wedge A).$$

(8/11) Natural Deduction

Example: prove that

$$\vdash A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (B \wedge A)).$$

(9/11) Natural Deduction

When we have a formula of the type $X \rightarrow Y$, it is often useful to make X an assumption with a view to discharging it later with Rule 7.

Example: prove

$$\{B\} \vdash A \rightarrow B.$$

(10/11) Natural Deduction

It is often useful to note that $\neg A$ is equivalent to $A \rightarrow \perp$. Example: prove

$$\{A, \neg A\} \vdash \perp$$

.....
We can now use the above example to prove, e.g., that

$$\{\neg A\} \vdash A \rightarrow B.$$

(11/11) Natural Deduction

We will now prove the transposition rule treated as a homework from Friday: To show that

$$\vdash (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B).$$